Fool’s gold food at neutral pH
Microbial acceleration of aerobic pyrite oxidation at circumneutral pH
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Abstract
Pyrite (FeS2) is the most abundant sulfide mineral on Earth and represents a significant reservoir of reduced iron and sulfur both today and in the geologic past. In modern environments, oxidative transformations of pyrite and other metal sulfides play a key role in terrestrial element partitioning with broad impacts to contaminant mobility and the formation of acid mine drainage systems. Although the role of aerobic microorganisms in pyrite oxidation under acidic-pH conditions is well known, to date there is very little known about the capacity for aerobic microorganisms to oxidize pyrite at circumneutral pH. Here, we describe two enrichment cultures, obtained from pyrite-bearing subsurface sediments, that were capable of sustained cell growth linked to pyrite oxidation and sulfate generation at neutral pH. The cultures were dominated by two Rhizobiales species (Bradyrhizobium sp. and Mesorhizobium sp.) and a Ralstonia species. Shotgun metagenomic sequencing and genome reconstruction indicated the presence of Fe and S oxidation pathways in these organisms, and the presence of a complete Calvin–Benson–Bassham CO2 fixation system in the Bradyrhizobium sp. Oxidation of pyrite resulted in thin (30–50 nm) coatings of amorphous Fe(III) oxide on the pyrite surface, with no other secondary Fe or S phases detected by electron microscopy or X-ray absorption spectroscopy. Rates of microbial pyrite oxidation were approximately one order of magnitude higher than abiotic rates. These results demonstrate the ability of aerobic microbial activity to accelerate pyrite oxidation and expand the potential contribution of microorganisms to continental sulfide mineral weathering around the time of the Great Oxidation Event to include neutral-pH environments. In addition, our findings have direct implications for the geochemistry of modern sedimentary environments, including stimulation of the early stages of acid mine drainage formation and mobilization of pyrite-associated metals.

1 INTRODUCTION
Pyrite (FeS2) is the most abundant sulfide mineral on Earth, and its oxidation through biotic and abiotic pathways is a major source of sulfate input to oceans both in modern (Berner & Berner, 1996; Raymond & Oh, 2009) and ancient environments (Crowe et al., 2013; Konhauser et al., 2011; Stueken, Catling, & Buick, 2012). Oxidative transformation of pyrite and other metal sulfides plays a key role in terrestrial element partitioning with broad impacts to contaminant mobility and the formation of acid mine drainage systems (Schippers, 2004). Microbial pyrite oxidation has been extensively studied at low pH, mainly in the context of acid mine/rock drainage. Under acidic conditions, microbial catalysis greatly accelerates pyrite oxidation through aerobic oxidation of soluble Fe2+, which produces Fe3+ ions that serve as an effective
chemical oxidant for FeS$_2$. However, many soil and sediment environments are not acidic but rather have a circumneutral pH, where pyrite oxidation can occur abiotically through reaction with atmospheric O$_2$ (Moses & Herman, 1991), or potentially through biologically catalyzed pathways.

Recent work has provided evidence for anaerobic nitrate-dependent pyrite oxidation under circumneutral-pH conditions in both field and laboratory settings (see Bosch and Meckenstock (2012) for a review). By comparison there is much less information on the potential for aerobic microbial oxidation of pyrite at circumneutral pH, even though the potential metabolic energy yield of this process is greater than that for nitrate-dependent oxidation. An early study of chemolithothrophic microbial metabolism in hydrothermal vent systems reported growth of aerobic micro-organisms on ground commercial pyrite as well as pyrite-bearing vent materials (Wirsen, Jannasch, & Molyneaux, 1993). In this work utilization of pyrite (and other reduced S compounds) as an energy source for growth was inferred from incorporation of $^{14}$CO$_2$ into cell biomass. Similar results were reported in a subsequent study with a pure *Thiomicrospira* isolate from vent materials (Wirsen et al., 1998). Employing analogous methods, Edwards, Rogers, Wirsen, and Mccollom (2003), demonstrated modest levels of cell growth and inorganic carbon fixation by a marine Gammaproteobacterial isolate (strain FO10) in seawater medium containing ground specimen pyrite. Unfortunately, none of these studies documented microbe–mineral associations or generation of sulfate or other oxidized S products during cell growth. Mielke, Pace, Porter, and Southam (2003) showed that the acidophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacterium *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* colonized cubes of specimen pyrite at pH values of 6.5–6.7, but did not provide chemical evidence for major oxidative transformation of the mineral. Rhine, Onesios, Serfes, Reinfelder, and Young (2008) documented stoichiometric release of sulfate and arsenate during biologically accelerated oxidation of arsenopyrite at circumneutral pH and reported (but did not show) similar results for pyrite. This latter study provides the most convincing evidence to date for the ability of aerobic micro-organisms to accelerate pyrite oxidation under neutral-pH conditions.

With the exception of the above-mentioned studies, it appears that most investigators have assumed that abiotic reaction with O$_2$ dominates pyrite oxidation under neutral-pH conditions, where the solubility of Fe$^{3+}$ is too low to permit rapid coupled biotic/abiotic oxidation of Fe$_2$S$_2$ based on aqueous Fe redox cycling (Singer & Stumm, 1970). Nevertheless, aerobic microbial colonization and biological oxidation of pyrite under neutral-pH conditions has the potential to play a key role in the early stages of acid mine/rock drainage formation (Mielke et al., 2003). In fact, Mielke et al. (2003) concluded that the observed colonization of pyrite by *A. ferrooxidans* was facilitated by the development of an acidic nanovenvironment between the bacteria and the mineral surface, which in due course would lead to acidification of the bulk environment. In addition to contributing to the incipient development of acid mine/rock drainage conditions, a recent series of microbiological and geochemical studies (Boyd, Hamilton, Havig, Skidmore, & Shock, 2014; Hamilton, Peters, Skidmore, & Boyd, 2013; Harrold et al., 2016; Mitchell, Lafreniere, Skidmore, & Boyd, 2013) suggest the existence of neutral-pH aerobic, microbially mediated pyrite oxidation activity in well-buffered, pyrite-containing subglacial carbonate sediments.

This study investigated the ability of bacteria from a subsurface redox transition zone (located at the Hanford 300 Area in Eastern Washington) to oxidize synthetic framboidal pyrite under aerobic, neutral-pH conditions. Two enrichment cultures (T26 and R31) demonstrated sustained sulfate production and cell growth in medium where pyrite was the sole energy source during 12 successive transfers over a period of 350 days. Rates of pyrite oxidation, changes to pyrite frambooids, cell–mineral associations, and composition of microbial communities were monitored during oxidation. A metagenomic analysis of organisms in the cultures was conducted to gain insight into potential mechanisms of microbially accelerated pyrite oxidation. Our results document the ability of bacteria to accelerate aerobic pyrite oxidation at neutral pH, a novel chemolithothrophic process with potentially broad environmental impacts and implications for sulfur and iron cycling on both modern and ancient Earth.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Microbial culturing

#### 2.1.1 | Enrichment culturing

Subsurface sediment was recovered from the Department of Energy's (DOE's) Hanford 300 Area Integrated Field Research Challenge (IFRC) site (http://ifchanford.pnl.gov), borehole 399–2-25, well IC C7870 (Bjornstad, Horner, Vermeul, Lanigan, & Thorne, 2009) in March 2011. The sediment was obtained under sterile conditions, and stored anoxically at 4°C prior to use as an inoculum for enrichment cultures (see Percak-Dennett and Roden (2014) for a full description of these experiments). Material from a redox transition zone at 17.8 m depth (Lin et al., 2012; Percak-Dennett & Roden, 2014; Peretyazhko et al., 2012) was used as inoculum for culture T26, and reduced material from 19 m depth was the source of inoculum for culture R31; each inoculum source was used to initiate duplicate enrichments. In addition to inoculation material, the initial enrichment cultures also contained (5 g per 100 ml fluid) of anoxically dried, ground and sieved reduced sediment. Sediment was not added in any subsequent culture transfers.

All cultures were grown in stoppered/crimp-sealed bottles with an air headspace to maintain aerobic conditions. The culture medium contained 10 mM L-1 piperazine-N,N-bis-2-ethanesulfonic acid (PIPES), 0.345 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 1.3 mM KCl, and 1 ml per liter of a vitamin and trace element solution (Lovley, 1987). Pyrite was added to enrichment cultures to a final concentration of ca. 20 mmol/L (ca. 40 mmol S/L). No organic carbon was present in the medium, and fixed nitrogen (e.g., ammonium) was also excluded to prevent the growth of nitrifiers. All cultures were incubated at room temperature in the dark. Duplicate sterile (autoclaved) controls were treated identically to inoculated reactors. The pH of the medium remained constant at 6.8 ± 0.3 for the duration of all experiments.
Synthetic framboidal pyrite was synthesized as previously described (Berner, 1969; Sweeney & Kaplan, 1973). After synthesis, pyrite was washed with 6M HCl and acetone to remove iron monosulfide (FeS) and elemental S (S\(^0\)) contaminants. However, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis revealed that a minor amount of S\(^0\) was still present (Fig. S1), and additional washings were necessary to remove all S\(^0\). XRD showed that the final mineral preparation was free of S\(^0\) and composed of pyrite together with small quantities of its polymorph marcasite (Fig. S1). The material was suspended in anoxic distilled water to a concentration of ca. 1 mol/L and autoclaved. Pyrite framboids were digested in concentrated HNO\(_3\) and the Fe content determined using ferrozine (Stookey, 1970). The sulfur content of the pyrite was measured via a single-step chromium reduction assay (Fossing & Jorgensen, 1989) with colorimetric sulfide determination (Cline, 1969). The results were consistent with the 1:2 Fe:S ratio expected for pyrite.

After inoculation, samples were collected aseptically (via syringe and needle) from each culture every 7–21 days. The suspensions were microcentrifuged and the supernatant diluted 1:20 for sulfate measurement using ion chromatography (IC, Dionex Model ICS-1100). The initial enrichment cultures were grown for ca. 100 days prior to transfer, at which point all cultures and sterile controls were transferred (10% vol/vol of both liquid and solids) to fresh pyrite-containing medium. In all subsequent generations, transfers were made when the sulfate concentration in any individual culture was ≥2 mM.

### 2.1.2 Growth experiments

The ninth transfer of the cultures was monitored for a longer time frame (79 days), and additional measurements were made to characterize the pyrite oxidation process. In addition to monitoring sulfate concentration, total polythionate concentrations were determined using the colorimetric procedure of Kelly and Wood (1994). The solids were subjected to a 1-hr extraction with 1M HCl, which liberated ~10% of total Fe in pyrite (data not shown) and was used to monitor changes in Fe redox speciation during pyrite oxidation. Cell–mineral associations were examined in fresh culture samples by SYTO® Green staining and epifluorescence microscopy as previously described (Emerson et al., 2007). For cell counts, subsamples were fixed with glutaraldehyde and immediately frozen at −80°C. Cell counts were made for days 0, 14, 34, and 54 by 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining and epifluorescence microscopy following established protocols (Hobbie, Daley, & Jasper, 1977). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was completed on cells from day 54 using primers Bet42a (Betaproteobacteria), Alfa968 (Alphaproteobacteria), and Eub338 (most Bacteria) following established protocols (Hugenholtz, Tyson, & Blackburn, 2002). Visualization of hybridized cells was carried out on a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Additional subsamples were centrifuged, rinsed with distilled water, and dried anoxically for TEM, SEM, and XRD analysis. Also at day 54, subsamples were obtained for DNA extraction and cryo-SEM imaging. Samples used for DNA extraction were immediately frozen at −80°C.

### 2.2 Characterization of solid phases

#### 2.2.1 X-ray diffraction and electron microscopy

Dried, unaltered pyrite grains were examined using a Rigaku Rapid II XRD and Hitachi S-3400 variable pressure SEM (Madison WI). Dried, unaltered and microbially oxidized pyrite grains were examined with a FEI Titan Aberration Corrected scanning/transmission electron microscope (Madison WI) as previously described (Shelobolina et al., 2012b). Culture samples for cryo-SEM imaging were used immediately; the samples were mounted on a polycarbonate filter, and plunged into liquid nitrogen slush. A vacuum was pulled allowing sample transfer to the Gatan Alto 2500 cryo chamber at a temperature of 120°C. Samples were then sublimated for 10 min at 90°C followed by cooling to 120°C. A thin layer of gold–palladium was sputtered onto the samples, after which they were transferred into a Hitachi S-4700 field-emission scanning electron microscope for imaging.

#### 2.2.2 X-ray absorption spectroscopy

Fe and S speciation (oxidation state and chemical coordination environment) were determined using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) analyses. Fe K-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) (7112 eV) and S K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) (2472 eV) spectral analysis were conducted on beamline 4-3 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), at Menlo Park, CA, under ring operating conditions of 3 GeV with a current of 450 mA. Samples were sealed on Teflon holders with Kapton tape to preserve the oxidation state of Fe and S and to prevent from any potential beam damage which might occur during data collection. A double crystal Si (220) monochromator with an unfocused beam was detuned 30% to reject harmonics affecting the primary beam. Between 7 and 10 individual spectra were averaged for each sample. Pure elemental Fe foil was used for energy calibration for Fe at 7112 eV whereas thiosulfate (\(\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2−}\)) was used for energy calibration of S at 2472 eV. The Lytle detector was used to record the fluorescence spectra of EXAFS and XANES scans. The fluorescence spectra were averaged and pre- and post-edge subtracted using Athena (Ravel & Newville, 2005). Linear combination fitting (LCF) of \(k^2\)-weighted Fe K-edge EXAFS was also performed using Athena to identify potential Fe-bearing phases in the samples (Ravel & Newville, 2005). LCF of spectra was performed in \(k^2\)-weighted k-space between \(k = 2\) and 14, using the following end-members: siderite (FeCO\(_3\)), 2- and 6-line ferrihydrite [Fe\(\text{OH}\)_3 nH\(_2\)O], goethite (\(\alpha\)-FeOOH), lepidocrocite (\(\gamma\)-FeOOH), hematite (\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)), green rust (Fe\(_{1+x}\)Fe\(_{3-x}\)[OH]\(_{12}\)[(SO\(_4\))\(_{x}\)SO\(_4\)]\(_{2-x}\) (H\(_2\)O)), pyrite (FeS\(_2\)), mackinawite (FeS), and magnetite (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)). These references were chosen based on their likelihood to be present under experimental conditions. Compounds were only included in the fit if the contribution was a fraction greater than 0.05. The oxidation states of S in the samples were identified by comparing the maximum energies of the spectra with that of oxidized S (sulfate; S in +6 oxidation state).
TABLE 1 Draft genomes recovered from the four most abundant organisms in culture T26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of 16S rRNA sequence using RDP classifiera</th>
<th>Best BLASTn hit of 16S rRNA sequence against NCBI nrb</th>
<th>Classification of essential single-copy genes by MEGANC</th>
<th>Total base pair in draft genome (Mbp)</th>
<th>Estimated genome completeness (%)</th>
<th>Average fold coverage of contigs (X)</th>
<th>GC content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bradyrhizobium (97%)</td>
<td>Bradyrhizobium (99%)</td>
<td>Bradyrhizobaceae</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitinophagaceae (100%)</td>
<td>Sediminibacterium (99%)</td>
<td>Chitinophagaceae</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralstonia (100%)</td>
<td>Ralstonia (100%)</td>
<td>Ralstonia</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>63.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphomicrobiurn (100%)</td>
<td>Hyphomicrobiurn (99%)</td>
<td>Hyphomicrobiurn</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a 16S rRNA gene sequences in the draft genomes were classified by RDP classifier (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu), and the values in parentheses indicate confidence levels of classification.

*b Best BLASTn hits of 16S rRNA gene sequences in the draft genomes against the NCBI nr database, and the values in parentheses indicate percent identities.

>c Classification of essential single-copy genes in draft genomes is based on the consensus (i.e., the majority) of their taxonomic assignments by MEGAN (Huson & Weber, 2013) using BLASTp hits.

>d The genome completeness was estimated by dividing the number of essential single-copy genes recovered in each draft genome by the expected number of these genes in a complete genome.

2.3 | Microbial community analysis

2.3.1 | 16S rRNA gene clone libraries

DNA was extracted from frozen culture samples with a Mo-Bio PowerSoil® DNA Isolation kit with some modifications. Frozen cultures were thawed, added to bead-beating tubes with 80 µl solution C1. The samples were then heated at 60°C for 10 min with vigorous shaking at 14,000 rpm using an Eppendorf Thermomixer. After this, the manufacturer’s recommended procedure was followed. Near full length 16S rRNA gene clone libraries were constructed using primers GM3 and GM4R (Muyzer, Teske, Wirsén, & Jannasch, 1995) as previously described (Rodén et al., 2012). Clones were constructed using the pGEM-T vector and Escherichia coli JM109 competent cells (Promega). Sanger 16S rRNA gene sequences of recombinant transformants were obtained from the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center. Assembled clones were screened for chimeras using UCHIME (Edgar, Haas, Clemente, Quince, & Knight, 2011) and flagged sequences were subsequently examined in Pintail (Ashelford, Chuzhanova, Fry, Jones, & Weightman, 2005). Suspicous sequences were excluded from downstream analysis. The 16S rRNA gene sequences were submitted to BLAST for taxonomic identification, using the BLASTn algorithm with environmental sequences excluded from the database (Altschul et al., 1997). Representative sequences and their taxonomic assignments are available in GenBank under accession numbers KX779309–KX779316. The average coverage of each contig was estimated by mapping QC-ed reads back to the contigs using CLC Genomic Workbench. By checking the contig coverage consistency, two chimeric joints were identified and manually corrected. All contigs were uploaded to the Integrated Microbial Genomes with Microbiomes (IMG/M) database (img.jgi.doe.gov/m) for gene prediction and functional annotation (Markowitz et al., 2014), and are available under IMG/M Taxon ID of 3300001911.

Protein coding genes were searched against the hidden Markov models (HMMs) constructed for 107 proteins which are conserved in 95% of all sequenced bacteria (Dupont et al., 2012), by performing hmmersearch (HMMER 3.0.1) to identify essential single-copy genes as described in Albertsen et al. (2013). Identified essential deduced protein sequences were searched against the NCBI refseq_protein database by BLASTP, and the BLAST output was imported to MEGAN (Huson & Weber, 2013) for taxonomic assignments using the lowest common ancestor algorithm, as described in Albertsen et al. (2013). Essential genes assigned to the same taxonomic group were on contigs with comparable coverage, indicating that they indeed belong to the same organism. The analysis of essential single-copy genes clearly suggested four major organism bins. Taxonomic binning was performed on contigs longer than 1 kbp using a combination of GC content, contig coverage (indicative of organism abundance), and sequence homology. For sequence homology, all protein sequences were searched against the NCBI refseq_protein database by BLASTP and assigned to taxa by MEGAN. The consensus taxa inferred from all proteins in a contig was used to indicate the contig’s putative taxonomy to facilitate its binning in combination with GC content and contig coverage. To verify binning results, tetranucleotide frequencies generated from all contigs longer than 3 kbp were analyzed using an emergent self-organizing map (ESOM) (Dick et al., 2009), and the clustering pattern on ESOM indicates that binning is accurate. Partial- to complete-length 16S rRNA gene sequences were recovered within each bin. Their classifications by RDP classifier and the best BLASTn hits against the NCBI nr database were both consistent with the taxonomic assignment of the essential single-copy genes (Table 1). These results suggested the recovery of 4 draft...
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Genomes, and the genome completeness was estimated by dividing the number of essential single-copy genes recovered from each draft genome by the expected number (107) of these genes in a complete genome (Albertsen et al., 2013). The four draft genomes are available at the U.S. Department of Energy, Joint Genome Institute IMG/M data portal with Taxon IDs of 2654587905-2654587908.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Microbially mediated pyrite oxidation

After a ca. 30 day lag period, sulfate began to accumulate in the initial enrichment cultures, increasing to 3.5 mM over a ca. 200 day period (Figure 1). Uninoculated treatments showed a much smaller increase in sulfate (ca. 0.5 mM) over the same time period. After 150 days, the initial enrichment cultures were transferred to fresh medium containing ca. 20 mmol/L of pyrite. Generation of ca. 4 mM sulfate was observed within 14 days of transfer, at which time all cultures and sterile controls were transferred again. Sulfate concentrations in inoculated cultures again increased to ca. 4 mM after another 14 days, and all cultures were subsequently transferred. For 280 days, rapid generation of 3–4 mM sulfate occurred within 14–28 days after transfer for all inoculated cultures (Figure 2). Uninoculated controls showed <0.5 mM sulfate generation during each successive transfer. pH values were monitored periodically and remained between 6.8 and 7.1. Concentrations of sulfate produced during the first 10 transfers (241 days), together with the measured BET surface area of the particles (ca. 1 m²/g), were used to calculate surface area-normalized rates of sulfate production (Fig. S3). Sulfate production for both cultures (T26 and R31) averaged 1.91 ± 1.29 × 10⁻⁹ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, an order of magnitude higher than abiological rates which averaged 3.15 ± 3.24 × 10⁻¹⁰ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The observed abiotic surface area-normalized rates are comparable to previously determined rates of chemical oxidation of ca. 40 µm sized particles of specimen pyrite by O₂ at circumneutral pH (Moses & Herman, 1991), but ca. 10-fold higher than rates of sub-µm sized synthetic pyrite oxidation in seawater (Gartman & Luther, 2014).

The ninth generation of the cultures was monitored in detail to link mineral alteration and cell growth to pyrite oxidation. Approximately 6 mM of sulfate was generated over a 76 day period, compared to ca. 3 mM in uninoculated controls (Figure 3a). These levels of sulfate production correspond to oxidation of ca. 15% and 7.5% of pyrite-S, respectively. Total polythionate concentrations were slightly lower initially in inoculated compared to abiotic cultures (Figure 3b), and both systems showed a gradual decrease in polythionates over time. HCl-extractable Fe(II) initially accounted for ca. 10% of pyrite-Fe, and was expressed as the ratio of Fe(II) to total Fe in the extract to assess Fe(II) loss during oxidation. The relative abundance of Fe(II) decreased by a factor of 3 during the experiment (Figure 3c), with the rate of Fe(II) loss being more rapid in the inoculated cultures compared to abiotic controls.

Direct cell counts on the ninth generation cultures revealed a 3-fold increase in cell density between day 0 and day 54, with the number of cells doubling by day 14 and final cell concentration reaching ca. 5 × 10⁷ cells/ml (data not shown). No cells were observed in abiotic controls. SYTO Green DNA staining of fresh culture samples revealed that virtually all cells were directly associated with mineral surfaces (Figure 4a, b). Cryo-SEM analysis confirmed a close spatial
relationship between pyrite framboids and microbial cells with very few unattached cells (Figure 4c, d).

3.2 | Mineralogical alteration of pyrite framboids

XRD analysis of solids from the ninth transfer showed no major changes to pyrite crystalline structure and an absence of secondary minerals such as Fe(III) oxides (data not shown). However, linear combination fit analyses of Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra showed increased concentrations of ferrihydrite and decreased FeS\(_2\) concentrations in oxidized pyrite (Fig. S4). No distinct S-bearing mineral phases were detected by SEM, TEM, or XRD. S K-edge XANES indicated the absence of S in anything other than the −2 oxidation state (Fig. S5).

These results, together with the fact that no distinct S-bearing mineral phases were detected by TEM, indicated complete oxidation of FeS\(_2\) to sulfate and amorphous Fe(III) oxide (ferrihydrite). Consistent with this interpretation, TEM analysis of solids from the ninth transfer revealed thin (30–50 nm) amorphous coatings on oxidized framboids (Figure 5a), which were not evident on unreacted materials (Figure 5b). Although these results show convincingly that reduced S intermediates were not present at the end of the experiment shown in Figure 3, we cannot rule out the possibility that such intermediates were present earlier in the experiment.

3.3 | Microbial community analysis

The taxonomic composition of the enrichment culture clone library sequences (n = 85 and 75 for T26 and R31, respectively) indicated microbial communities (Table S1) dominated by Alphaproteobacteria from the family Bradyrhizobiaceae (Bradyrhizobium and Mesorhizobium...
sp.), and the betaproteobacterial genus *Ralstonia*, as well as the sphingobacterial genus *Sediminibacterium*. FISH analysis indicated that the attached microbial communities were dominated by *Alphaproteobacteria* (Fig. S6) with much lower abundance of *Betaproteobacteria* (data not shown).

Taxonomic binning and ESOM analysis of the T26 metagenome yielded draft genomes from four dominant organisms (Figure 6), which were classified to the genera *Bradyrhizobium*, *Ralstonia*, *Hyphomicrobium*, and the family *Chitinophagaceae*, with estimated genome completeness of 97%, 92%, 81%, and 96%, respectively (Table 1). The *Ralstonia* sp. 16S rRNA gene sequence recovered from the metagenome matched 35 sequences in the T26 clone library with >99% identity (0–3 mismatches), whereas the *Bradyrhizobium* sp. 16S rRNA gene in the metagenome matched 9 sequences from the T26 clone library with 97%–98% identity (12–18 mismatches). Therefore, the metagenome likely recovered the same *Ralstonia* sp. in the T26 clone library, along with a *Bradyrhizobium* sp. which was similar to but not identical to the *Bradyrhizobium* sp. in the clone library.

The reconstructed genome for *Bradyrhizobium* sp. contained complete pathways for autotrophic CO₂ fixation (Calvin–Benson–Bassham) as well as a full complement of genes necessary for N₂ fixation (Fig. S7) via molybdenum nitrogenase (Boyd et al., 2011). Proliferation of a taxon with these capabilities was perhaps not unexpected given the absence of both fixed C and N in the enrichment culture medium. Homologues of sulfur-oxidizing *sox* genes (Friedrich, Rother, Bardischewsky, Quentmeier, & Fischer, 2001) were recovered in all community members except *Chitinophagaceae* sp., with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. and *Hyphomicrobium* sp. containing a complete *sox* pathway capable of thiosulfate oxidation to sulfate (Fig. S8). Several gene clusters potentially involved in extracellular electron transfer associated with Fe oxidation were recovered from the *Bradyrhizobium* sp., *Ralstonia* sp., and *Hyphomicrobium* sp. draft genomes (see Figure 7 and Discussion).

**4 | DISCUSSION**

**4.1 | Circumneutral-pH pyrite oxidation**

Enhanced sulfate generation (relative to abiotic controls) over 12 transfers, increases in cell numbers, and the direct association between cells and pyrite framoids all indicate cell growth coupled to pyrite oxidation by organisms in the enrichment cultures. Sustained growth over 300 days indicates true chemolithoautotrophic growth as opposed to

---

**FIGURE 5** TEM images of microbially altered (culture R31) (a) and unaltered (b) pyrite framoids. The microbially altered minerals display a thin (30–50 nm) coating of amorphous Fe(III) oxides on framoids. No distinct S-bearing mineral phases were detected.

**FIGURE 6** (a) Coverage vs. GC content for contigs (>1 kb length) from the T26 metagenome. Each symbol indicates a contig, and the size of each is scaled to the length of the contig. Taxonomic binning was based on the consensus result from contig coverage, GC content, and sequence similarity to reference genomes, and is indicated by different colors: *Bradyrhizobium*; *Hyphomicrobium*; *Chitinophagaceae*; *Ralstonia*. (b) Emergent self-organizing map (ESOM) of genomic sequence fragments from the T26 metagenome based on the tetranucleotide frequency calculated with a window size of 5 kbp. All contigs longer than 5 kbp were included in this analysis. Each dot represents a 5-kbp fragment (or the entire contig if its length is shorter than 5 kbp). Sequence fragments from different taxonomic bins are indicated by different colors (the same as in panel a) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
utilization of endogenous cellular reserves, which if present, would have been exhausted early in the experiment. The latter conclusion is supported by the presence of a complete Calvin–Benson–Bassham pathway for CO\textsubscript{2} fixation for the \textit{Bradyrhizobium} sp. in the cultures, which presumably provided fixed carbon to heterotrophic organisms in the enrichments. The ability of heterotrophic bacteria to gain energy for growth from oxidation of reduced sulfur compounds (e.g., thiosulfate and other polythionates) while assimilating exogenous organic compounds is well known (e.g., Mason & Kelly, 1988; Sorokin & Lysenko, 1993; Trudinger, 1967; Tuttle, Holmes, & Jannasch, 1974; Tuttle & Jannasch, 1972), and in addition some reduced S-utilizing bacteria can fix significant quantities of CO\textsubscript{2} during mixotrophic growth (Sorokin, 1970; Sorokin & Lysenko, 1993; Tuttle & Jannasch, 1977, 1979; Zopfi, Ferdelman, Jorgensen, Teske, & Thamdrup, 2001). These observations provide an explanation for how pyrite was able to serve as the sole energy source for growth of mixed populations of autotrophic and mixotrophic bacteria in our enrichment cultures, as well as in previous studies with mixed and pure cultures of marine bacteria (Edwards et al., 2003; Wirsen et al., 1993, 1998).

The results of this study help to expand the known range of neutral-pH pyrite-oxidizing micro-organisms in aerobic terrestrial environments. To our knowledge the study by Rhine et al. (2008) represents the only prior demonstration of aerobic pyrite oxidation by micro-organisms from a neutral-pH terrestrial system, in this case a \textit{Bosea thiooxidans} isolate (strain WAO) from arsenopyrite-bearing black shale in the Newark Basin near Trenton, NJ. In this context, it is interesting to consider whether or not this environment from which cultures T26 and R31 were obtained supports active aerobic and/or nitrate-dependent pyrite oxidation. The cultures were obtained from sediments either within (T26) or just below (R31) the subsurface redox transition zone (RTZ) within a fine-grained unit of the Ringold Formation at the Hanford 300 Area site in Eastern Washington (Lin et al., 2012; Percak-Dennett & Roden, 2014; Peretyazhko et al., 2012; Stegen et al., 2016). Reduced sediments from below the RTZ contain small (a few tens of μmols of reduced S per g) but detectable quantities of pyrite with framboidal textures (Peretyazhko et al., 2012). Sharp gradients in dissolved O\textsubscript{2} and nitrate are present within RTZ, with O\textsubscript{2} concentrations falling from near-saturation (ca. 250 μM) to zero between ca. 15 and 16 m depth, and nitrate concentrations falling from ca. 400 μM to zero between ca. 15.5 and 17.5 m depth (Lin et al., 2012). Interestingly, dissolved sulfate concentrations show two distinct step increases across these depth intervals, rising from ca. 450 to 560 μM in the first, and from ca. 560 to 800 μM in the second (see Figure 1 in Lin et al., 2012). These observations suggest that both aerobic and nitrate-linked pyrite oxidation may be taking place within this subsurface RTZ. Although controlled sediment incubation experiments failed to demonstrate aerobic or nitrate-dependent oxidation of endogenous pyrite (Percak-Dennett & Roden, 2014), the heterotrophic metabolism that dominated in those experiments may have been artificially stimulated as a result of disaggregation and dispersion of the Pliocene-age deposits (as observed previously in experiments with Cretaceous-age Atlantic Coastal Plain sediments, Chapelle & Lovley, 1990). Further studies of this and other analogous environments are required to assess the potential for aerobic and nitrate-driven pyrite oxidation across redox gradients in subsurface systems that contain authigenic pyrite from modern or ancient sulfate reduction activity.

4.2 | Mechanism(s) of microbial pyrite oxidation

By what mechanism(s) could micro-organisms from the RTZ enrichments have accelerated oxidative dissolution of pyrite? To address this question it is useful to consider established models for pyrite oxidation at acidic pH, for example, by organisms such as \textit{A. ferrooxidans} and \textit{Leptospirillum ferrooxidans} (Blake & Johnson, 2000). In the “indirect” mechanism for low-pH pyrite oxidation (Sand, Gehke, Jozsa, & Schippers, 2001), enzymatic oxidation of aqueous Fe\textsuperscript{3+} (Table 2, reaction 1) results in the formation of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} ions that abiotically attack and dissolve the mineral, resulting in the release of sulfate and regeneration of aqueous Fe(II) (Table 2, reaction 2). Although this mechanism is not likely to be operative at circumneutral pH given the insolubility of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} at pH values greater than ca. 4 (Singer & Stumm, 1970), as discussed below the concept of a Fe-mediated mechanism cannot be ruled out solely on the basis of pH conditions.
A potential non-Fe-based indirect mechanism involves bacterial utilization of polythionate ions (e.g., thiosulfate, \( \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} \)) that are known to be released from the pyrite surface during abiotic reaction with \( \text{O}_2 \) at circumneutral pH (Moses, Nordstrom, Herman, & Mills, 1987) (Table 2, reaction 3). Bacterial utilization of \( \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} \) (Table 2, reaction 4) would accelerate the conversion of pyrite-\( S \) to sulfate, given that rates of microbially mediated thiosulfate oxidation by \( \text{O}_2 \) are much faster than abiotic rates at circumneutral pH (Tuttle & Jannasch, 1976). Although oxidation of soluble Fe(II) produced during abiotic reaction of pyrite with \( \text{O}_2 \) (Table 2, reaction 5) could be biologically mediated (Emerson & Weiss, 2004), the results reported in Druschel et al. (2008) suggest that abiotic oxidation of Fe(II) by \( \text{O}_2 \) is likely to have dominated under the fully aerobic conditions in our cultures. Regardless of whether Fe(II) oxidation was abiotic or microbially mediated, at neutral pH any soluble Fe(II) produced would react quickly with \( \text{O}_2 \), resulting in formation of the Fe(III) oxide layer observed on the pyrite surfaces (Figure 5a). It should be noted here that thermodynamic calculations [made using \( \Delta G \) values from Stumm and Morgan (1996) and Thauer, Jungermann, and Decker (1977)] show that the free energy release for the reaction (at pH 7):

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 1.75\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 0.75\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} + \text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 2\text{H}^+ 
\]

(which assumes rapid oxidation of Fe\(^{2+} \) produced via reaction 3 in Table 2 to amorphous Fe(III) oxide, Fe(OH)\(_3\)) is virtually unaffected by the assumed S\(_2\text{O}_3^{2-} \) concentration; hence, any microbial acceleration of pyrite oxidation via thiosulfate scavenging would be due to a kinetic rather than a thermodynamic effect.

The fact that several organisms in the enrichment cultures contained the metabolic machinery (i.e., the sox system; see Supplementary Information) for polythionate oxidation (Figs. S7 and S8) is consistent with the above mechanism. In addition, the direct association of cells with the pyrite surface (Figure 4) suggests the possibility for an "indirect contact" mechanism akin to that known for aci-dophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria (Fowler, Holmes, & Crundwell, 2001; Sand et al., 2001), wherein mineral surface colonization results in Fe(II) oxidation and subsequent FeS\(_2\) dissolution by Fe(III) ions within microenvironments at the cell-mineral interface. Recent dialysis bag experiments with a cold-adapted, thiosulfate-oxidizing \( T. \text{denitrificans} \) isolate (Harrold et al., 2016) have provided evidence that direct cell-mineral contact is required for aerobic microbial acceleration of pyrite oxidation (Boyd, Harrold, & Skidmore, 2016). Consistent with this observation, in situ mineral colonization studies conducted in the glacial outwash channel where this isolate was recovered found evidence for enrichment of 16S rRNA gene sequences affiliated with thiobacilli on FeS\(_2\) surfaces when compared to silicate or carbonate surfaces (Mitchell et al., 2013).

Despite the fact that the sox system was likely operative to some extent in our cultures, the results of the polythionate measurements suggest that it may not have been the only, or even the dominant, mechanism for enhanced sulfate generation. Total polythionate concentrations were comparable in the abiotic versus biotic reactors.

### Table 2: Reactions for pyrite oxidation mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-pH, “soluble Fe redox cycling-based” model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) ( 14\text{Fe}^{3+} + 3.5\text{O}_2 + 14\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 14\text{Fe}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>Enzymatic, may be promoted by cell-mineral contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) ( 14\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{FeS}_2 + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 15\text{Fe}^{2+} + 16\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>Abiotic surface reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net: ( \text{FeS}_2 + 3.5\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumneutral-pH, “sorbed Fe redox cycling” model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) ( \text{FeS}_2 + 1.5\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} + \text{Fe}^{2+} )</td>
<td>Abiotic surface reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) ( \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} + 2\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>Enzymatic, may be promoted by cell-mineral contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) ( \text{Fe}^{2+} + 0.25\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 2\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>Abiotic, may be enzymatically catalyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net: ( \text{FeS}_2 + 3.75\text{O}_2 + 3.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 4\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the model shown in Figure 9 in Moses and Herman (1991). For simplicity, \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) balance are omitted from all but the overall net reaction.

The \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) in this reaction may arise from the surface reaction of pyrite with \( \text{O}_2 \), for example, reaction 3.
(Figure 3b), which would not be expected if mineral-associated bacteria thrived via scavenging of thiosulfate and other polythionates as they were released from the pyrite surface. Although these results by no means rule out a polyhionate intermediate-based mechanism for microbiolally mediated pyrite oxidation, they motivate consideration of other possible mechanisms.

The work of Moses and Herman (1991) on abiotic reaction of pyrite with \( O_2 \) at neutral pH points toward a potential solid-phase Fe-based mechanism for microbially accelerated pyrite oxidation in our cultures. That study concluded that the most likely mechanism for abiotic oxidation of pyrite-S to sulfate involved redox cycling of surface-associated Fe species. In this model (Table 2, reactions 6–11), Fe(II) (e.g., produced during the initial reaction of pyrite with \( O_2 \)) undergoes adsorption to the mineral surface; the adsorbed Fe(II) then donates electrons to \( O_2 \), resulting in the formation of surface-associated Fe(III) that in turn rapidly accept electrons from reduced S in the underlying pyrite. Oxygen molecules are transferred from the hydration sphere of the adsorbed Fe to pyrite-S, and successive addition of oxygen continues until a stable sulfoxyl species (e.g., sulfate) dissociates from the surface. Thus, in this model, cyclic oxidation and reduction of surface-associated Fe serves as a conduit for transfer of electrons from pyrite-S to dissolved \( O_2 \). Gartman and Luther (2014) reached similar conclusions regarding the mechanism of synthetic pyrite oxidation in seawater. Recent density functional theory/plane-wave calculations provide theoretical support for this basic mechanism (Dos Santos, Silva, & Duarte, 2016).

It seems feasible that mineral-associated bacterial cells could facilitate this process by accelerating the reaction of adsorbed Fe(II) with \( O_2 \) at the mineral–water interface. Moses and Herman (1991) concluded that this process is in fact the rate-limiting step for pyrite oxidation at circumneutral pH. In support of this idea, at least two taxa recovered in the culture T26 metagenome (Bradyrhizobium and Ralstonia) are related to solid-phase (biotite and/or reduced smectite) Fe(II)-oxidizing organisms recently isolated from terrestrial subsoil (Sherobolina et al., 2012a) and groundwater (Benzine et al., 2013) environments where Fe redox cycling is known to be taking place. Analysis of the reconstructed genomes from culture T26 provides clues as to potential biochemical mechanisms for solid-associated Fe(II) oxidation.

### 4.3 Metagenomic evidence for a Fe-based mechanism for microbial pyrite oxidation

A gene encoding a predicted outer membrane beta-barrel protein, homologous to the outer membrane cytochrome c (Cyc2) involved in Fe(II) oxidation by the acidophile *A. ferrooxidans* (Yarzabal et al., 2002), was found in the reconstructed Bradyrhizobium sp. genome (Figure 7a). Within the same gene cluster was a gene encoding a high-redox potential iron-sulfur protein (HiPIP), and three genes encoding periplasmic c-type cytochromes, including homologues of *A. ferrooxidans* Cyc1 and CycA1, which work together with Cyc2 to relay electrons from the outer membrane to the inner membrane for energy and NADH generation, respectively (Quattrini et al., 2009). A similar EET system involving Cyc2 and Cyc1 was also recently identified in the marine neutrophilic microaerophilic Fe(II) oxidizer *Mariprofundus ferrooxydans* PV-1 (Barco et al., 2015). Therefore, it seems feasible that the Cyc2 system in *Bradyrhizobium* sp. is involved in Fe(II) oxidation in a manner similar to Cyc2 in *A. ferrooxidans* and *M. ferrooxydans* PV-1.

In addition to cytochrome c that is directly embedded into the outer membrane (e.g., Cyc2), another EET mechanism involves a porin–cytochrome c complex (PCC), in which a periplasmic cytochrome c is embedded into an outer membrane porin so that the cytochrome c is able to access to extracellular substrates. Such a PCC genetic system (dubbed MtoAB by analogy to the MtrAB system in the dissimilatory Fe(III)-reducing bacterium Shewanella oneidensis; Shi, Rosso, Zachara, & Fredrickson, 2012) was proposed for Fe(II) oxidation by Sideroxydans lithotrophicus ES-1 (Liu et al., 2012) and was also identified in the reconstructed genome of related member of the Gallionellaceae present in the chemolithoautotrophic Fe(II)-oxidizing, nitrate-reducing culture KS (He, Tominski, Kappler, Behrens, & Roden, 2016). The clustering of genes encoding periplasmic cytochrome c and porin-like beta-barrel outer membrane proteins was identified in the draft genomes of *Ralstonia* sp. and *Hyphomicrobium* sp. (Figure 7b), and in the latter case the periplasmic c-type cytochromes contain multiple heme-binding sites. These genomic arrangements suggest that both of these taxa could have been involved in Fe(II) oxidation in a manner analogous to that suggested for *S. lithotrophicus* ES-1 and the Gallionellaceae sp. in culture KS.

In summary, genomic information together with the geochemical data suggest that two different biochemical mechanisms (i.e., the “indirect polyhionate intermediate” and “sorbed Fe redox cycling” models; Table 2) may have played a role in microbial acceleration of pyrite oxidation in our cultures. Further experimentation will be required to assess which, if either, of the two mechanisms was the dominant one. In practice, the two pathways would be expected to operate in parallel, with the sorbed Fe redox cycling pathway likely being responsible for the main attack on the mineral surface, and the polyhionate utilization pathway being responsible for oxidation of soluble, partially reduced S compounds that may arise during the reaction of pyrite surfaces with \( O_2 \) (e.g., reaction 3 in Table 2). In due course, genome-enabled (e.g., transcriptomics, proteomics) studies with pure and mixed cultures should be able to provide molecular targets (e.g., outer membrane proteins) that can be used track the presence and activity of aerobic and nitrate-reducing pyrite-oxidizing organisms in subsurface environments.

### 4.4 Implications for geochemical cycling on modern and ancient Earth

#### 4.4.1 Modern Earth

Microbial acceleration of neutral-pH pyrite oxidation has important implications for low-temperature geochemistry in modern environments, in particular metal sulfide weathering and acid mine drainage...
(AMD) systems. In such environments, acidification linked to the formation and accumulation of ferric iron during biotic and/or abiotic pyrite oxidation initiates a propagation cycle that results in massive metal sulfide leaching (Schippers, 2004). Traditionally, the early stages of AMD formation have been assumed to be kinetically slow due to the absence of high concentrations of aqueous Fe(III) at circumneutral pH (Singer & Stumm, 1970). However, our work calls for reexamination of the potential rates of pyrite oxidation in initially circumneutral-pH systems. Depending on the buffering capacity of the local surficial environment, early aerobic and/or nitrate-dependent pyrite oxidation could presumably begin the process of acidification (see net reactions in Table 2) and thereby accelerate the eventual formation of AMD conditions. A previous study of pyrite colonization and cell growth by A. ferrooxidans at circumneutral pH (Mielke et al., 2003) reached similar conclusions, although unlike the work presented here, that study did not directly demonstrate accelerated release of sulfate or oxidation of pyrite-Fe(II) (see Figure 1–3) during neutral-pH mineral colonization. Recent phylogenetic (16S rRNA gene) and metagenomic surveys of AMD systems with varying pH values have identified taxa that may be involved in the early stages of AMD formation under circumneutral-pH conditions (Chen et al., 2013, 2014; Korehi, Blothe, & Schippers, 2014), including neutrophilic organisms such as T. denitrificans with known reduced S and Fe(II) oxidation capacities (see Chen et al., 2013). Application of culture-based approaches such as those employed here could provide more direct insight into the organisms responsible for early-stage acidification in such systems.

Microbially accelerated pyrite oxidation under circumneutral-pH conditions could also play a role in the early stages of soil formation and plant establishment on arctic moraines where conglomerate rocks are rich in pyrite (Borin et al., 2010; Mapelli et al., 2011). Similarly, circumneutral-pH chemolithotrophic microbial activity has been suggested to be taking place within a ca. 1-m thick zone that exists between a low-pH surface layer and a deeper reduced zone within a black shale weathering profile in southwest China (Li et al., 2014). Microbial acceleration of neutral-pH pyrite oxidation also has implications for the geochemistry of sedimentary environments where carbonate buffering or other mineral weathering processes have the capacity to absorb protons release during pyrite oxidation, thereby maintaining neutral- or near-neutral-pH conditions (e.g., Brantley, Holleran, Jin, & Bazilevskaya, 2013; Raymond & Oh, 2009). As discussed in Bosch, Lee, Jordan, Kim, and Meckenstock (2012) and Brantley et al. (2013), attenuation of groundwater nitrate contamination, mobilization of toxic metals, and promotion of chemical weathering are all key processes likely to be impacted by pyrite oxidation in circumneutral-pH systems. From a different but highly interesting perspective, recent work by Boyd and colleagues (2014) suggests that chemolithotrophic pyrite oxidation drives primary productivity and mineral dissolution in a slightly alkaline (pH 8.1–8.8) subglacial environment, a process which provides an explanation for how subglacial communities could be sustained in near-isolation from the atmosphere during glacial–interglacial cycles.

4.4.2 Early Earth

The biological acceleration of pyrite oxidation documented in this study has significant implications for the development of sulfur cycling on early Earth. Increased sulfate flux as tracked by sulfur isotopes in the late Archean has been attributed to terrestrial land colonization at 2.7–2.8 Ga (Stueken et al., 2012), at least a few hundred million years prior to the Great Oxidation Event at ca. 2.5 Ga (Kump, 2008). Increased rates of sulfate weathering flux driven by aerobic microbial pyrite oxidation could, in fact, have started as early as 2.9 Ga (Crowe et al., 2013), spurring widespread changes to geochemical cycling including impacts to the mobility of Mo, Cr, and other redox-sensitive trace metals (Konhauser et al., 2011). In addition, it is well recognized that the enhanced delivery of sulfate to the oceans which began in the late Archean ultimately had a major impact on ocean biogeochemistry (e.g., Canfield, Habicht, & Thamdrup, 2000). Although much speculation has been given to the role of low-pH, AMD-like environments in contributing to global sulfur flux on early Earth (Konhauser et al., 2011; Stueken et al., 2012), it is important to fully consider all possible sources of sulfate to the Archean ocean. Subsurface terrestrial environments rich in detrital pyrite and carbonates and receiving oxidant influx, akin to the source of sediment for these enrichment cultures would have provided sufficient shielding from destructive UV radiation on Earth’s surface (Cockell, 2000; Hunting et al., 2012) to allow for sustained proliferation of circumneutral-pH lithotrophic microbial metabolism. Diffusion/ dispersion together with groundwater flux would provide a mechanism for mobilizing terrestrially derived sulfate, eventually resulting in oceanic sulfate accumulation.

The recent study of neutral-pH microbially driven subglacial pyrite oxidation (Boyd et al., 2014) represents an example of this type of phenomenon. The ca. 10-fold difference between biotic and abiotic pyrite oxidation rates documented in the present study provides a concrete mechanism for biologically accelerated sulfate flux to oceans before and during the Great Oxidation Event, without the need to appeal per se to the development of widespread AMD-like conditions across the terrestrial landscape.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Two separate enrichment cultures obtained from a terrestrial subsurface redox transition zone showed sustained sulfate generation 4-5 mmol/L corresponding to oxidation of ca. 20% of available pyrite-S) within a month after transfer to fresh media over 12 successive transfers. Rates of pyrite oxidation were an order of magnitude higher in the presence of micro-organisms compared to abiotic controls, indicating that this microbial metabolic pathway has the potential to greatly enhance the kinetics and extent of pyrite weathering in sedimentary environments. Microbial cells were intimately associated with pyrite framboids, and microbial communities were dominated by organisms closely related to known thiosulfate and solid-phase Fe(II) oxidizers. Metagenomic analysis of one of the enrichment cultures provided insight into potential biochemical mechanisms for enhanced
pyrite oxidation, which may have proceeded through both S-related and Fe-based pathways. Our findings provide support for the hypothesized role of aerobic microbial pyrite oxidation on early Earth resulting in increased oceanic sulfate flux before and during the Great Oxidation Event. Additionally, enhanced rates of aerobic pyrite oxidation by microbial activity have direct implications for modern terrestrial environments, that is, be accelerating the early stages of AMD formation and providing the basis for a variety of chemolithotrophic microbial ecosystems. Collectively our findings call for a detailed biochemical and genetic analysis of the mechanism(s) of microbial pyrite oxidation under circumneutral-pH conditions with both O₂ and nitrate as electron acceptors.
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Supplementary Text: Sulfur oxidation systems in reconstructed genomes

The sulfur oxidation (Sox) system enables microbial oxidation of thiosulfate, sulfite, sulfide, and elemental sulfur (Friedrich et al., 2001). The seven genes, encoding the four periplasmic proteins constituting the core Sox system, SoxXA, SoxYZ, SoxB, and Sox(CD)2, were identified in the draft genomes of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. and *Hyphomicrobium* sp., and a truncated Sox system lacking soxCD genes was found in *Ralstonia* sp. draft genome (Fig. S8). The truncated Sox system can oxidize sulfite, but not thiosulfate, sulfide or sulfur, as the S atom in the sulfane intermediate (SoxYZ–S–S⁻) generated from their oxidation cannot be oxidized without Sox(CD)2. Putative sulfur dioxygenase (SDO) genes with about 30% amino acid similarities to *Acidithiobacillus caldus* SDO were found in *Bradyrhizobium* sp., *Hyphomicrobium* sp., and *Ralstonia* sp. draft genomes (Table S2). SDO may be able to oxidize the S atom in SoxYZ–S–S⁻; thus allowing the truncated Sox system in *Ralstonia* sp. to oxidize thiosulfate, sulfide and sulfur, as has been proposed for soxCD-lacking *Acidithiobacillus caldus* and *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans* (Yin et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2012). Therefore, *Bradyrhizobium* sp., *Hyphomicrobium* sp. and *Ralstonia* sp. are likely able to oxidize thiosulfate generated from the abiotic reaction of pyrite with O₂ (see main text). Tetrathionate may also be an intermediate in neutral-pH pyrite oxidation (Goldhaber, 1983). A putative tetrathionate hydrolase (TetH) gene with a low amino acid sequence identity to TetH in *A. ferrooxidans* was found in *Hyphomicrobium* sp., but not in other draft genomes (Table S2). In *A. ferrooxidans*, TetH hydrolyzes polythionate (such as tetrathionate and pentathionate) to generate thiosulfate, sulfur and sulfate (de Jong et al., 1997). Therefore, TetH, combining with the Sox system would allow the utilization of polythionate. In addition to Sox, SDO, and TetH, several other genes involved in thiosulfate and sulfide oxidation, including thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (TST), heterodisulfide
reductase (HDR), and sulfide:quinone reductase (SQR), are also present in some of the draft genomes (Table S2).


Fig. S1 XRD spectra of initial and final synthetic pyrite preparations. The initial preparation contained a small amount of elemental S, which was removed from the final preparation by extensive washing with acetone.
Fig. S2 SEM images of washed, unreacted synthetic pyrite.
Fig. S3 Surface area-normalized rates of sulfate production during the first 10 transfers of the enrichment cultures in comparison to abiotic control. Each data point represents the mean ± range of duplicate cultures for T26 and R31, and a single uninoculated control.
Fig. S4: Linear combination fitting analyses of Fe K-edge EXAFS for pyrite and ferrihydrite in cultures R31, T26, and abiotic control (no cells).
Fig. S5 S K-edge X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy Near Edge Structure (XANES) analysis of oxidation state of S in pyrite framboids from cultures R31, T26, and abiotic control (no cells). All S associated with the solids was in the -2 oxidation state, consistent with complete oxidation of FeS$_2$ to sulfate and no secondary mineral formation.
**Fig. S6** Brightfield (A), DAPI-stain (B), and FISH (universal and alphabacterial probes) images of ninth generation enrichment culture 31 after ca. 45 days of growth.
Fig. S7. Enzymes responsible for autotrophic carbon fixation (upper panel) and nitrogen assimilation (lower panel) observed in the *Bradyrhizobium* metagenome. Genes present in the reconstructed genome are highlighted in pink.
Fig. S8. Sulfur oxidation (SOX) system (upper panel) and genes observed in the reconstructed genomes from this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome</th>
<th>SoxA</th>
<th>SoxX</th>
<th>SoxY</th>
<th>SoxZ</th>
<th>SoxB</th>
<th>SoxC</th>
<th>SoxD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bradyrhizobium</em> sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hyphomicrobium</em> sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ralstonia</em> sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chitinophagaceae</em> sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S1. Phylogenetic association of 16S rRNA gene sequences from the clone libraries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>% of clones&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Taxonomy&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bradyrhizobium sp.</td>
<td>15.6 3.1</td>
<td>Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales; Bradyrhizobiaceae; Bradyrhizobium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesorhizobium sp.</td>
<td>35.4 53.6</td>
<td>Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales; Phyllobacteriaceae; Mesorhizobium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralstonia sp.</td>
<td>37.5 17.5</td>
<td>Betaproteobacteria; Burkholderiales; Burkholderiaceae; Ralstonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvibacter sp.</td>
<td>0 15.5</td>
<td>Betaproteobacteria; Burkholderiales; Comamonadaceae; Curvibacter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediminibacterium sp.</td>
<td>11.5 10.3</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes; Sphingobacteria; Sphingobacteriaceae; Chitinophagaceae; Sediminibacterium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Out of a total of 96 and 86 sequences for cultures T26 and R31, respectively.

<sup>b</sup> Highest taxonomic resolution that could be ascertained from BLASTn-based query.
Table S2. Other sulfur metabolism genes found in the draft genomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bradyrhizobium sp.</th>
<th>Hyphomicrobiurn sp.</th>
<th>Ralstonia sp.</th>
<th>Chitinophagaceae sp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxygenase (SDO)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrathionate hydrolase (TetH)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfide:quinone reductase (SQR)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (TST)/rhodanese (rhd)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterodisulfide reductase (HDR)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assimilatory sulfate reduction**

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfite reductase (NADPH)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphoadenosine phosphosulfate (PAPS) reductase</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphoadenosine phosphosulfate (PAPS) phosphatase</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenylyl-sulfate kinase</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assimilatory sulfate reduction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>