The Iron Isotope Fingerprint of Redox and Biogeochemical Cycling in Modern and Ancient Earth

Clark M. Johnson, Brian L. Beard, and Eric E. Roden

NASA Astrobiology Institute and Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706; email: clarkj@geology.wisc.edu, beardb@geology.wisc.edu, eroden@geology.wisc.edu

**Key Words**

Precambrian, life, evolution, diagenesis

**Abstract**

The largest Fe isotope fractionations occur during redox changes, as well as differences in bonding, but these are expressed only in natural environments in which significant quantities of Fe may be mobilized and separated. At the circumneutral pH of most low-temperature aqueous systems, Fe$^{2+}$ is the most common species for mobilizing Fe, and Fe$^{2+}$ has low $^{56}$Fe/$^{54}$Fe ratios relative to Fe$^{3+}$-bearing minerals. Of the variety of abiologic and biologic processes that involve redox or bonding changes, microbial Fe$^{3+}$ reduction produces the largest quantities of isotopically distinct Fe by several orders of magnitude relative to abiologic processes and hence plays a major role in producing Fe isotope variations on Earth. In modern Earth, the mass of Fe cycled through redox boundaries is small, but in the Archean it was much larger, reflecting juxtaposition of large inventories of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$. Development of photosynthesis produced large quantities of Fe$^{3+}$ and organic carbon that fueled a major expansion in microbial Fe$^{3+}$ reduction in the late Archean, perhaps starting as early as $\sim$3 Ga. The Fe isotope fingerprint of microbial Fe$^{3+}$ reduction decreases in the sedimentary rock record between $\sim$2.4 and 2.2 Ga, reflecting increased bacterial sulfate reduction and a concomitant decrease in the availability of reactive iron to support microbial Fe$^{3+}$ reduction. The temporal C, S, and Fe isotope record therefore reflects the interplay of changing microbial metabolisms over Earth’s history.
INTRODUCTION

Some two-dozen laboratories worldwide are pursuing Fe isotope research across a variety of topics, ranging from studying human blood (e.g., Walczyk & von Blanckenburg 2002), tracing Fe pathways in the modern oceans (e.g., Levasseur et al. 2004), determining redox cycling in ancient Earth (e.g., Rouxel et al. 2005), to studying solar system differentiation (e.g., Poitrasson et al. 2004). A decade ago only a few abstracts had been published on Fe isotope geochemistry, reflecting analytical development efforts that began in the mid-1990s (Beard et al. 1998, Bullen & McMahon 1998). Although these early efforts were significantly limited in the quantity and quality of data they could obtain, they were motivated by a desire to develop new tools for understanding the biological cycling of Fe, specifically microbial Fe\textsuperscript{3+} reduction, which was recognized in the late 1980s as a major process by which Fe is cycled in the surface environments of Earth (Lovley & Phillips 1988, Myers & Nealson 1988). The proposal that Fe isotopes may be a biosignature for microbial Fe cycling (Beard et al. 1999), however, was greeted with skepticism (Anbar et al. 2000, Bullen et al. 2001), and in the early 2000s, we saw a rapid increase in experimental and theoretical studies into the mechanisms of Fe isotope fractionation in abiologic and biologic systems (e.g., Polyakov & Mineev 2000, Brantley et al. 2001, Schauble et al. 2001, Johnson et al. 2002). Since this time, the pace of investigations has only quickened.

There have been a number of reviews on Fe isotope geochemistry in the past several years (Anbar 2004, Beard & Johnson 2004, Johnson et al. 2004, Dauphas & Rouxel 2006, Johnson & Beard 2006, Anbar & Rouxel 2007). These reviews have been split in terms of the role of biogeochemical cycling in determining the Fe isotope record of Earth, with some preferring abiological processes to explain the data (e.g., Dauphas & Rouxel 2006, Anbar & Rouxel 2007) and others highlighting the role that the microbial processing of Fe has had on the isotopic record (e.g., Johnson et al. 2004, Johnson & Beard 2006). Abiologic processes such as extensive Fe\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation have been proposed to explain the large range in Fe isotope compositions observed in Archean sedimentary rocks (e.g., Rouxel et al. 2005), but we show below that such processes produce two to three orders of magnitude smaller quantities of fractionated Fe relative to those produced by microbial Fe\textsuperscript{3+} reduction. We review data on natural systems and experiments, looking at both abiological and biological processes, where the ultimate goal is to understand the isotopic fingerprints of the C, S, and Fe biogeochemical cycles over Earth’s history, and assessing how these fingerprints may reflect changing microbial metabolisms and environmental conditions.

ISOTOPIC FRACTIONATION

All data discussed here are reported as $\delta^{56}$Fe values, in units of per mil ($\%e$), relative to the average of igneous rocks (Beard et al. 2003a):

$$\delta^{56}\text{Fe} = \left(\frac{^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Sample}}}{^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Ig Rxs}}} - 1\right) \times 10^3.$$

(1)

The precision of $\delta^{56}$Fe values is generally $\pm 0.05\%e$ to $\pm 0.15\%e$, depending on the laboratory. Following standard practice, we describe isotopic fractionations between
two components, A and B, by the isotopic fractionation factor, $\alpha_{A-B}$:

$$\alpha_{A-B} = \frac{^{56}\text{Fe} / ^{54}\text{Fe}}{A} / \frac{^{56}\text{Fe} / ^{54}\text{Fe}}{B}. \tag{2}$$

For isotopic systems in which fractionations are on the order of a few per mil (as is the case for Fe), we can employ a useful approximation:

$$10^3 \ln \alpha_{A-B} \approx \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_A - \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_B = \Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{A-B}. \tag{3}$$

The general principles of stable isotope fractionation can be found in numerous textbooks (e.g., Criss 1999), and discussions oriented toward transition-metal isotope systems may be found in Schauble (2004) and Anbar & Rouxel (2007).

The most important controls on Fe isotope fractionations in natural, low-temperature systems are oxidation state and bonding. Under equilibrium conditions, aqueous Fe or minerals that are entirely Fe$^{3+}$ have higher $\delta^{56}$Fe values than those of mixed Fe$^{3+}$-Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation state, and aqueous species or minerals that are entirely Fe$^{2+}$ tend to have the lowest $\delta^{56}$Fe values; exceptions to these general trends include aqueous species or minerals in which Fe is covalently bonded such as pyrite, which is predicted to have some of the highest $\delta^{56}$Fe values of minerals that occur in sedimentary rocks (e.g., Polyakov & Mineev 2000). When these guidelines do not appear to be observed in natural systems, the most likely explanation is that nonequilibrium, pathway-dependent processes are responsible. Reviews of Fe isotope fractionation factors may be found in Beard & Johnson (2004), Johnson et al. (2004, 2005), Anbar & Rouxel (2007), and Polyakov et al. (2007).

**ISOTOPIC COMPOSITION OF THE BULK CRUST**

The vast majority of Fe in the crust has a $\delta^{56}$Fe value near zero, including most igneous rocks, as well as most alteration and weathering products. Although surface weathering of most crustal lithologies under an O$_2$-bearing atmosphere produces large increases in Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ ratios and the formation of secondary silicate and oxide minerals that are relatively insoluble in neutral-pH solutions, the low solubility of Fe$^{3+}$-bearing minerals produces little net Fe isotope fractionation in bulk weathering products because loss of soluble Fe is insignificant (Beard et al. 2003b). Although slightly more variable in Fe isotope compositions, low-C, low-S Proterozoic and Archean shales also have $\delta^{56}$Fe values that closely cluster around the igneous rock average (Yamaguchi et al. 2005). This result may at first seem surprising because weathering in the Archean is generally thought to have occurred prior to the development of an O$_2$-bearing atmosphere (e.g., Holland 1984). Weathering under an anoxic atmosphere, however, produces no redox change because the vast majority of Fe contained in igneous and metamorphic rocks is Fe$^{2+}$. Bulk sedimentary detritus therefore has $\delta^{56}$Fe values near zero, independent of atmospheric O$_2$ contents (Yamaguchi et al. 2005). Collectively, these observations provide a powerful reference line over Earth’s history (Archean to present) with which to compare rocks in which significant Fe was mobilized.
MOBILIZING IRON: ISOTOPIC VARIATIONS IN NATURAL FLUIDS

The isotopic homogeneity of most Fe reservoirs on Earth indicates that when nonzero $\delta^{56}$Fe values are measured for minerals and rocks, they must have been produced by interactions with Fe-bearing fluids. Modern mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal fluids have $\delta^{56}$Fe values between $-0.8^{\circ}$ and $-0.1^{\circ}$, and are positively correlated with Fe contents (Figure 1a), indicating that their mass-weighted $\delta^{56}$Fe value lies closer to the high end of this range. The higher heat flow in the Archean probably produced a mid-ocean-ridge hydrothermal flux that had a $\delta^{56}$Fe value near zero (Yamaguchi et al. 2005, Johnson et al. 2008). The low-Fe fluids that have negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values may be produced by precipitation of secondary minerals such as pyrite or oxides, which have $\delta^{56}$Fe values higher than Fe$^{2+}_aq$.

The range in Fe isotope compositions measured for low-temperature fluids in diagenetic systems significantly exceeds that measured for mid-ocean-ridge hydrothermal fluids (Figure 1), as expected based on the general temperature dependence of isotopic fractionation factors. Four fundamental processes are reflected in the $\delta^{56}$Fe-Fe concentration relations for low-temperature fluids: (a) the transport of dissolved or colloidal Fe in riverine systems, (b) the oxidation of Fe$^{2+}_aq$, (c) isotopic exchange
with reactive Fe monosulfide during bacterial sulfate reduction (BSR), and (d) isotopic fractionation associated with dissimilatory iron reduction (DIR) that is coupled to the bacterial oxidation of organic carbon (Figure 1).

**Riverine Iron**

The modern dissolved or colloidal riverine Fe flux appears to have slightly negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values between zero and $-1\%$ (Fantle & DePaolo 2004, Bergquist & Boyle 2006), reflecting mobile Fe components that have been leached from soils during weathering, including colloidal oxides and Fe complexed by organic matter (Brantley et al. 2001, 2004; Fantle & DePaolo 2004; Emmanuel et al. 2005). The dissolved or colloidal riverine flux to the open oceans is $\sim3 \times 10^9$ mol Fe per year, much smaller than the detrital or suspended load, which is approximately $1.2$ to $1.8 \times 10^{10}$ mol Fe per year (Poulton & Raiswell 2002). If the dissolved riverine Fe flux was greater in early Earth (under relatively anoxic conditions), the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of this flux were probably close to zero, reflecting a higher degree of dissolution of igneous and metamorphic silicate minerals and the absence of significant redox changes upon weathering (Yamaguchi et al. 2005).

**Oxidation of Ferrous Iron**

Oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$aq and precipitation of Fe$^{3+}$ hydroxides (e.g., ferrihydrite) in groundwater or hot spring systems may produce $\delta^{56}$Fe values for the remaining aqueous Fe$^{2+}$ that are substantially lower than those measured for riverine Fe (Figure 1). Data are available for three systems: (a) Chocolate Pots Hot Springs, Yellowstone National Park (Poulson 2005), (b) spring water from Mt. Ruapehu, New Zealand (Bullen et al. 2001), and (c) a push-pull experiment in which O$_2$ was injected into reduced groundwater (Teutsch et al. 2005). At both Chocolate Pots and Mt. Ruapehu, moderate-temperature ($\leq 50$°C) vent fluids are oxidized upon contact with the atmosphere, and the broadly linear trend of decreasing $\delta^{56}$Fe with the logarithm of Fe concentration suggests a Rayleigh process (Figure 1). The changes in $\delta^{56}$Fe for Fe$^{2+}$aq are interpreted to reflect oxidation and precipitation in which the initial ferrihydrite-Fe$^{2+}$aq fractionation factor was $\sim+1\%$, reflecting a combination of equilibrium isotope exchange between Fe$^{3+}$aq and Fe$^{2+}$aq ($\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{Fe^{3+}aq}$-Fe$^{2+}$aq) and a kinetic fractionation upon precipitation ($\Delta^{56}$Fe$_{Fe(OH)_3}$-Fe$^{3+}$aq) (Beard & Johnson 2004), although the trends at both sites deviate from simple Rayleigh behavior at the greatest distances from the vent.

The potential role of sorption in determining the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of aqueous Fe has received attention (e.g., Icopini et al. 2004). Partial dissolution of ferric hydroxide from Chocolate Pots shows that the most easily dissolved component has low-$\delta^{56}$Fe values, opposite to what would be produced by Fe$^{2+}$ sorption (Poulson 2005). The effects of sorption, however, are inferred to be the major control on the $\delta^{56}$Fe values for Fe$^{2+}$aq in Teutsch and colleagues’ (2005) groundwater O$_2$ injection experiment. They argued that the homogenous oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$aq during O$_2$ injection did not occur
and instead interpreted the results to indicate an equilibrium Fe isotope fractionation upon sorption of Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ onto newly formed ferric oxide/hydroxides. Alternatively, the formation of new oxide/hydroxides imparts an Fe isotope fractionation in which the remaining Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ has negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values. The equilibrium Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$-Fe$^{3+}$$_{sorb}$ fractionation at room temperature is relatively small and appears to be $-0.9\%$e and $-0.3\%$e for goethite and hematite, respectively, in both biologic (Crosby et al. 2005, 2007) and abiotic (Kennedy et al. 2006) experiments. These fractionations are far from the very large fractionations of 2$\%$e to 5$\%$e inferred (but not directly measured) by Icopini et al. (2004). The equilibrium Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$-Fe$^{3+}$$_{sorb}$ fractionation for ferrihydrite has not been measured. Given the similarity in $\delta^{56}$Fe-Fe concentration trends of the data from the groundwater experiment and the hot springs, we suggest that the oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ and formation of new ferric oxide/hydroxides were more important controls on the Fe isotope compositions in the O$_2$ injection experiment.

**Interactions with Reactive Fe$^{3+}$: Microbial Sulfate and Iron Reduction**

BSR and DIR produce $\delta^{56}$Fe values for Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ that are quite distinct. BSR is a major pathway of organic-matter degradation in many marine sediments (e.g., Canfield et al. 1993), and Severmann et al. (2006) indicate that the Fe isotope compositions of Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ in sulfide-rich sections of modern marine sediments have relatively high-$\delta^{56}$Fe values and low-Fe contents (Figure 1b), reflecting isotopic exchange with iron monosulfide. This contrasts with the low-$\delta^{56}$Fe values for Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ produced by DIR. Both BSR and DIR may occur in the same sediment section, reflecting extensive cycling of Fe and S before they are ultimately sequestered in the rock record as pyrite (e.g., Canfield et al. 1993, Thamdrup & Canfield 1996), linking these two metabolic pathways and providing an opportunity for a range in Fe isotope compositions in sedimentary pyrite.

Of all the processes illustrated in Figure 1, DIR produces the highest concentrations of Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ in natural fluids that have isotopic compositions that are distinct from the crustal average. In areas in which reactive Fe$^{3+}$ is abundant and SO$_4^{2-}$ contents are limited, DIR is the major pathway of organic-matter degradation in marine sediments (Lovley & Phillips 1987). Pore fluids from modern marine sediments from the California and Amazon continental margins have relatively high-Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$ contents in sediment sections that have high reactive Fe$^{3+}$ contents, supporting relatively high levels of DIR. These Fe$^{2+}$$_{aq}$-rich pore fluids have $\delta^{56}$Fe values that extend down to $-3.0\%$e (Figure 1b), which are interpreted to reflect isotopic fractionations during DIR (Bergquist & Boyle 2006, Severmann et al. 2006). Staubwasser et al. (2006) have also inferred an active role for DIR in Fe cycling in modern marine sediments based on isotopic compositions of reactive Fe components in sediments from the Arabian Sea.

**Experimental Studies of Abiologic and Biologic Mineral Dissolution**

The relations between Fe isotope composition and concentrations of natural fluids in Figure 1 lead us to conclude that in low-temperature diagenetic systems, DIR
Iron isotope compositions for aqueous Fe from experimental studies of mineral dissolution in abiologic and biologic systems, relative to the molar fraction of aqueous Fe produced (fraction dissolved, panel a) and concentration of aqueous Fe (b). The Fe isotope compositions have been normalized to a system $\delta^{56}$Fe value of zero. Experimental studies in panel a are shown for hornblende dissolution (Brantley et al. 2001, 2004), goethite dissolution by oxalate (Wiederhold et al. 2006), and dissimilatory iron reduction (DIR) of ferrihydrite, goethite, and hematite (Beard et al. 1999, 2003a; Icopini et al. 2004; Crosby et al. 2005, 2007; Johnson et al. 2005). Asterisks note hornblende dissolution experiments that were stirred. The light gray box represents the field for DIR experiments that encompasses data from the end of the experiments (∼1% to 10% dissolution). Data in panel a are most directly comparable across all experimental conditions because they reflect the proportion of Fe that is dissolved. Comparison of data in panel b across the experiments is more difficult because of variations in the experiments in terms of the mass of initial materials and volume of solutions; data from the DIR experiments are normalized to a total system size of 50 mM Fe. Also shown in panel b are the fields for riverine Fe and pore water Fe from modern marine sediments produced by DIR from Figure 1.

is probably responsible for producing the largest inventories of low-$\delta^{56}$Fe $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ in $\text{aq}$. This interpretation is supported by experimental studies of DIR, and in Figure 2 we compare these results with experiments that studied abiologic dissolution of minerals. Hornblende leaching experiments in the presence of various organic ligands produced small quantities of aqueous Fe (∼0.01% of the hornblende mass) that had $\delta^{56}$Fe values up to 1.0‰ lower than the initial starting material (Brantley et al. 2001, 2004), in which the largest fractionations were observed in experiments that were actively agitated (Figure 2a). Partial dissolution of goethite by oxalate, performed in light and in darkness, produced a wide range of $\delta^{56}$Fe values that increased with increasing percent dissolution (Figure 2a) (Wiederhold et al. 2006); these experiments were conducted under conditions of constant agitation, and the lowest $\delta^{56}$Fe values for
Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) reflected approximately an order of magnitude greater dissolution than that obtained in Brantley and colleagues’ (2004) hornblende leaching experiments that were agitated.

In contrast to the results for the abiologic partial dissolution of oxide/hydroxide or silicates, DIR experiments produced much larger proportions of Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) that had low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe values (Figure 2a) (Beard et al. 1999, 2003a; Crosby et al. 2005, 2007; Johnson et al. 2005), and this contrast is particularly striking given that the DIR experiments were not actively stirred or agitated. The DIR experiments illustrated in Figure 2 include those that compared members of the Geobacteraceae and Shewanella species, and the results for both bacteria were the same. Instead, the major differences in the δ\(^{56}\)Fe values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) seem to lie in the nature of the ferric oxide/hydroxide substrate (Figure 2). At ~1% to 10% total reduction, the δ\(^{56}\)Fe values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) range between 1.0‰ and 1.8‰ lower than the initial oxide/hydroxide for experiments that used goethite or hematite, and greater quantities of Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) were produced that had lower δ\(^{56}\)Fe values when ferrihydrite was used as the ferric Fe substrate. When cast in terms of concentrations for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) (Figure 2b), the experimental results lie within the range measured in natural fluids that are ascribed to DIR.

Our discussion above leads to the conclusion that the engine that produces the most significant quantities of low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) in natural diagenetic environments is DIR, and this engine is fueled by a continual supply of organic carbon and reactive Fe\(^{3+}\) in many marine environments. We can write the overall reaction for DIR as

\[
4\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + \text{CH}_2\text{O} + 8\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 4\text{Fe}^{2+}_{aq} + \text{CO}_2 + 11\text{H}_2\text{O},
\]

where, in marine environments, organic carbon (CH\(_2\)O) is supplied by primary photosynthetic productivity, and Fe(OH\(_3\)) (ferrihydrite) is supplied by detrital riverine components or atmospheric dust delivery (e.g., Canfield et al. 2005); in most environments in which DIR is active, Fe(OH\(_3\)) is the limiting component, and DIR may be inhibited in environments in which BSR rates are high. Nevertheless, we stress that DIR in marine sediments is a dynamic process, in which a continual supply of Fe(OH\(_3\)) and organic carbon supports a continual state of partial reduction of Fe\(^{3+}\) oxide/hydroxide by DIR, producing mobile Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) that may be lost to the oceans at large or may react with sulfide produced by BSR (e.g., Severmann et al. 2006).

The Mechanisms of Isotopic Fractionation During Microbial Iron Reduction

Recent experimental work has provided important breakthroughs in our understanding of the mechanisms that produce Fe isotope fractionation during microbial DIR. Based on the observation that Shewanella species may solubilize Fe\(^{3+}\) prior to reduction, Beard et al. (2003a) and Johnson et al. (2004) proposed that the low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) produced during DIR may reflect isotopic fractionation between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and a ligand-bound Fe\(^{3+}\) reservoir that had high-δ\(^{56}\)Fe values. This proposal was not based on direct measurement of the high-δ\(^{56}\)Fe component that was required by isotopic mass balance, and this component was not directly measured in the subsequent studies of Beard et al. (1999), Icopini et al. (2004), and Johnson et al. (2005).
Crosby and colleagues’ (2005, 2007) experiments, however, showed that the ligand-bound Fe\(^{3+}\) model was incorrect and instead demonstrated, through direct analysis of the high-\(\delta^{56}\)Fe Fe\(^{3+}\) component, that the \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) were largely controlled by equilibrium isotope exchange with a reactive surface layer on the ferric oxide/hydroxide surface (defined as Fe\(^{3+}\)\(_{reac}\)). Crosby et al. (2007) showed that the \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) may be described to a first approximation by the equation

\[
\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}^{2+}_{aq}} = \Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}^{2+}_{aq}-\text{Fe}^{3+}_{reac}} X_{\text{Fe}^{3+}_{reac}},
\]

(5)

where \(\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}^{2+}_{aq}-\text{Fe}^{3+}_{reac}}\) is the Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)-ferric oxide/hydroxide fractionation factor (\(-3.0\)‰) (Johnson et al. 2002, Skulan et al. 2002, Welch et al. 2003), and \(X_{\text{Fe}^{3+}_{reac}}\) is the mole fraction of Fe\(^{3+}\)\(_{reac}\) in the pool of Fe that is open to isotopic exchange, which is approximated by the sum of Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\)\(_{reac}\).

Equation 5 describes well the relations between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\)\(_{reac}\) for hematite substrates (Figure 3a) because the isotopic effects of sorbed Fe are <0.05‰ (Figure 3b), reflecting the small proportion of sorbed Fe and the fact that the Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)-Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{sorb}\) fractionation is only −0.3‰ for hematite. The isotopic effects of sorption are significant during the initial stages of DIR when goethite is the substrate because the proportion of sorbed Fe is relatively large during the initial stages of reduction, increasing the influence of the Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)-Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{sorb}\) fractionation factor for goethite (−0.9‰). Importantly, the net effect of sorption is never equal to the Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)-Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{sorb}\) fractionation factor because mass-balance constraints among Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\), Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{sorb}\), and Fe\(^{3+}\)\(_{reac}\) also control the absolute \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values (Crosby et al. 2007). In the case of goethite, the proportion of sorbed Fe decreases in the reactive Fe pool with increasing reduction, decreasing the net effect of sorption to only a few tenths per mil. Although large isotopic effects due to sorption have been proposed (Icopini et al. 2004, Teutsch et al. 2005), these studies did not account for isotopic mass balance among all the species open to isotopic exchange. In general, the net effects of sorption are small unless the Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)/Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{sorb}\) ratio is very low.

Crosby and colleagues’ (2005, 2007) studies demonstrate that the Fe isotope fractionations produced by DIR are fundamentally equilibrium isotope fractionations, in which the role of bacteria appears to lie in catalyzing coupled atom (isotopic) and electron exchange. Could isotopic exchange between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\)\(_{reac}\) be induced in completely abiologic systems? Enriched isotope tracer experiments have demonstrated isotopic exchange between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and ferric oxide/hydroxides (e.g., Williams & Scherer 2004, Pedersen et al. 2005), although these experiments were designed to measure neither shifts in Fe isotope ratios nor the size of the Fe inventories involved in isotopic exchange. Preliminary results indicate that isotopic exchange between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and hematite can be induced in an entirely abiologic system, but not to the extent that exchange occurs during microbial DIR, particularly in complex solutions (Figure 3). Crosby (2005) investigated Fe isotope fractionations in abiologic mixtures of Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and hematite in proportions that matched those attained at the end of DIR experiments using the same substrate (Crosby et al. 2005). Despite active stirring, a significantly smaller proportion of Fe\(^{3+}\)\(_{reac}\) was produced in the abiologic experiments as compared with the DIR experiments, which were not stirred,
Iron isotope variations produced by dissimilatory iron reduction (DIR) of goethite and hematite and comparison to abiologic Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)-hematite interactions as a function of the mole fraction of Fe\(^{3+}\) in the reactive Fe pool (X\(_{Fe^{3+}\text{,reac}}\)). (a) The negative correlation between $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and X\(_{Fe^{3+}\text{,reac}}\) can be described by a simple two-component mixing equation, and the data obtained for hematite closely follow this, reflecting the insignificant effect of sorption for experiments involving hematite (Crosby et al. 2007). The effects of sorption are significant during the early stages of DIR of goethite but decrease during continued reduction. (b) The net effects of sorption, defined as the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ value measured for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) relative to that predicted by the two-component mixing line, at a given X\(_{Fe^{3+}\text{,reac}}\). In contrast to the biologic experiments involving hematite and dissolved silica, abiologic Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)-hematite interactions produce little reactive Fe\(^{3+}\), which in turn produce only slightly negative $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\). It is important to note that the abiologic experiments were actively stirred, whereas the biologic experiments were not, and the inferred conditions for static abiologic Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)-hematite interactions in the presence of dissolved silica are shown by the box in the upper left corner.


producing a commensurate smaller shift in $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) (Figure 3). In addition, abiologic experiments run with dissolved silica, intended to mimic the conditions of the Archean oceans, further inhibited Fe isotope exchange between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and hematite, suggesting that the presence of surface-sorbed species other than Fe may inhibit isotopic exchange in the absence of biology (Kennedy et al. 2006). These preliminary abiologic experiments suggest that under static conditions and in complex aqueous solutions, isotopic exchange between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and hematite will be suppressed...
(Figure 3). It is not yet known if goethite and ferrihydrite behave similarly. Importantly, silica does not inhibit Fe reduction rates for DIR that utilizes ferrihydrite as the terminal electron acceptor (Kukkadapu et al. 2004; E. Roden, unpublished data).

The evidence at hand therefore indicates that abiological Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\)–hematite interactions are unlikely to masquerade as a DIR fingerprint, although further experimental investigations are required to completely understand the process in systems that match natural conditions in terms of fluid compositions and Fe\(^{3+}\) substrate. The results from natural and experimental systems indicate that microbial DIR is far more efficient than abiotic exchange at producing a reactive Fe\(^{3+}\) layer, which in turn produces larger decreases in \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values for Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) in biological systems relative to abiological analogs. We speculate that this contrast arises because iron-reducing bacteria actively pump electrons to the oxide surface (e.g., DiChristina et al. 2005), which catalyzes isotopic exchange to an extent not attained in equivalent abiotic systems. Atomic force microscopy has shown that outer membrane cytochromes in *Shewanella oneidensis* develop significant bonding energies at the interface with goethite (Lower et al. 2001, 2007). Recent studies have indicated that both *Geobacter* and *Shewanella* species are also capable of synthesizing specialized electrically conductive pili (nanowires), which may provide a means for transporting electrons to insoluble Fe oxides (Reguera et al. 2005, Gorby et al. 2007), promoting isotopic exchange. We speculate that both outer membrane–associated cytochromes and nanowires may transport electrons even in the presence of competing sorbed species such as silica, which in turn should allow isotopic exchange to still occur between Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) and ferric oxides during microbial Fe\(^{3+}\) reduction where such exchange would otherwise not occur in equivalent abiotic systems. Finally, we note that if the isotopic biosignature for bacterial iron reduction is independent of species or the nature of the electron donor, as seems possible from Crosby and colleagues’ (2005, 2007) results, Fe isotopes will be a powerful means for tracing biogeochemical cycling in early Earth because it is unclear which species may have driven Fe reduction in the Archean. Iron reduction is phylogenetically diverse, found throughout the Bacteria and Archaea, including hyperthermophiles, sulfate reducers, nitrate reducers, and methanogens, involving a wide variety of electron donors (e.g., Lovley et al. 2004).

**IRON-SULFUR INTERACTIONS DURING MARINE DIAGENESIS**

Dissimilatory metal and sulfate reduction are the dominant pathways of organic carbon oxidation in many continental margin sediments, and the ultimate end product of coupled Fe-S cycling in marine sediments is pyrite. In the presence of sulfide, reactive Fe\(^{2+}\) and/or Fe\(^{3+}\) combines to form aqueous FeS species, which in turn form nanoparticulate FeS (mackinawite), which is an important precursor phase to pyrite formation (e.g., Rickard & Luther 1997, Benning et al. 2000, Schoonen 2004). Matthews et al. (2004) were the first to propose that sedimentary pyrite may record the \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values of Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\) produced by DIR, and below we explore the relations among reactive iron oxides, Fe\(^{2+}\)\(_{aq}\), FeS, and pyrite in marine sediments, and the
Variations in the Fe isotope compositions of pore water $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$ relative to the oxidation state of reactive Fe and Fe isotope compositions of solid reactive Fe components from modern marine sediments from the Santa Barbara Basin and Monterey Bay California margin (Severmann et al. 2006). Correlations between the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$ and the percentage of Fe$^{3+}$ in the reactive Fe pool (HCl-extractable Fe) are illustrated in panel $a$, and those relative to the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for solid reactive Fe phases are illustrated in panel $b$, including Fe(OH)$_3$ (crosses), FeS (circles), and pyrite (diamonds). The $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for FeS were estimated from HCl extractions that contained $>80\%$ Fe$^{2+}$, whereas the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for Fe(OH)$_3$ were estimated from extractions that contained $<80\%$ Fe$^{2+}$, using the mixing relations given in Severmann et al. (2006). The estimated equilibrium $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$-FeS fractionation (gray box) is from Butler et al. (2005). The field encompassing the predicted $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$-pyrite fractionation factor at 25 $^\circ$C uses calculations from Schauble et al. (2001), Anbar et al. (2005), and Polyakov et al. (2007). BSR, bacterial sulfate reduction; DIR, dissimilatory iron reduction.

The possibility that sedimentary pyrite may represent a permanent record of both BSR and DIR.

Correlations between the proportion of Fe$^{1+}$ that composes the reactive pool of Fe (pore fluid + HCl-extractable Fe) and the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$ in modern marine sediments from the California margin (Figure 4a) led Severmann et al. (2006) to conclude that the Fe isotope compositions of $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$ reflected mixtures between low-$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ Fe$^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$ produced by DIR (associated with a high percentage of Fe$^{3+}$ in the reactive Fe pool) and high-$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ Fe$^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$ controlled by isotopic exchange with FeS (low percentage Fe$^{3+}$ in the reactive Fe pool). The source of sulfide in the sediments ultimately reflects BSR, so we define a BSR end member for samples that contain no Fe$^{3+}$ in the reactive Fe pool (Figure 4a). The inferred $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values of
∆+0.5‰ for FeS in the HCl-extractable components (zero reactive Fe\(^{3+}\)) approximately equal those for Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) in the same samples, consistent with the near-zero or slightly positive Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\)-FeS fractionation factor inferred by Butler et al. (2005) to approach equilibrium conditions. Pore water Fe\(^{3+}\) that has lower δ\(^{56}\)Fe values is out of isotopic equilibrium with FeS and instead is controlled by the production of low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) by DIR, suggesting that the rate of Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) production by DIR exceeds that of isotopic exchange between Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) and FeS.

Exploring the relations among Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\), FeS, pyrite, and reactive Fe\(^{3+}\) in Severmann and colleagues’ (2006) study in more detail, we see that FeS has δ\(^{56}\)Fe values distinct from those of pyrite in the same samples and that pyrite is far from isotopic equilibrium with Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) relative to the predicted Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\)-pyrite fractionation factor (Figure 4b). Although FeS is an important precursor to pyrite formation, pyrite did not inherit the Fe isotope compositions of FeS in the California margin sediments because FeS may exchange Fe isotopes with aqueous Fe\(^{3+}\) over timescales of days (Butler et al. 2005), suggesting that Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\)-FeS equilibrium is more likely than Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\)-pyrite equilibrium in sediments that are undergoing advective transport of porewater Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\). This is demonstrated by the fact that in no cases is pyrite in Fe isotope equilibrium with Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) based on predicted fractionation factors (Figure 4). Instead, we propose that the negative δ\(^{56}\)Fe values for pyrite reflect Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) that was generated by DIR and encountered sulfide that was generated by BSR.

Surprisingly, the estimated δ\(^{56}\)Fe values for the Fe(OH)\(_3\) component in the HCl-extractable Fe substantially overlap with the δ\(^{56}\)Fe values measured for pyrite in the sediments (Figure 4b). At first it may seem counterintuitive that Fe\(^{3+}\) may have the same δ\(^{56}\)Fe values as pyrite, but the negative δ\(^{56}\)Fe values inferred for Fe(OH)\(_3\) are interpreted to reflect the rapid and complete oxidation of low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) as reduced pore waters encountered oxidizing waters (Severmann et al. 2006). The low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe ferric oxide/hydroxides in diagenetic systems therefore provide an indirect proxy for DIR (Johnson & Beard 2006), consistent with Canfield and colleagues’ (1993b) proposal that Fe undergoes redox cycling many times before ultimate burial. Isotopic mass balance for the sediments is provided by FeS, which has relatively high-δ\(^{56}\)Fe values (Severmann et al. 2006).

We conclude that the δ\(^{56}\)Fe values of sedimentary pyrite reflect a permanent repository of several Fe sources, including (a) detrital oxides that have reacted with sulfide (δ\(^{56}\)Fe = 0‰) (Beard et al. 2003b), (b) FeS precursors (δ\(^{56}\)Fe ~ 0 to +0.5‰) (Figure 4), (c) the sulfidation of low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe ferric oxide/hydroxides that formed from DIR-produced Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\), and (d) the direct reaction of sulfide and low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) that was produced by DIR. DIR is therefore important in two of these four Fe pathways. The Fe isotope compositions of pyrite cannot be explained by equilibrium Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\)-pyrite exchange, which should produce pyrite that has positive δ\(^{56}\)Fe values. In diagenetic systems in which the proportion of low-δ\(^{56}\)Fe Fe\(^{2+}_{aq}\) or ferric oxide/hydroxide is small relative to other pools of reactive Fe, we expect sedimentary pyrite to have only modestly negative δ\(^{56}\)Fe values, and perhaps modestly positive values. Such cases are expected in which oxidation of organic carbon occurs largely by BSR rather than DIR. If, however, DIR activity exceeds that of BSR in terms of organic carbon oxidation, as would occur in environments where delivery of ferric oxides/hydroxides is high,
we expect the DIR contribution to the Fe isotope composition of pyrite to be much higher, shifting the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of pyrite to more negative compositions. These contrasts in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for BSR- or DIR-dominated compositions have been found in organic-rich shales that formed just before the Cenomanian-Turonian oceanic anoxic event (Jenkyns et al. 2007).

ANCIENT IRON REDOX CYCLING: PRECAMBRIAN SHALES, SULFIDES, AND BANDED IRON FORMATIONS

The largest inventories of Fe that are isotopically variable are recorded in Precambrian rocks that have undergone diagenesis, including shales that have high-Fe, -C, or -S contents, sedimentary pyrite, and banded iron formations (BIFs). The temporal variation in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for Archean and Proterozoic sedimentary rocks suggests a marked increase in the abundance of Fe that had low-$\delta^{56}$Fe values in the surface environments of Earth between $\sim$3.1 and $\sim$2.4 Ga (Figure 5). Proterozoic-age sedimentary pyrite generally has near-zero or slightly positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values, whereas 2.7 to 2.5 Ga-age pyrite has significantly negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values. Rouxel et al. (2005) interpreted the temporal changes in the Fe isotope compositions of sedimentary pyrite to be a direct proxy of ancient seawater during the commonly proposed rise in atmospheric O$_2$ contents in the early Proterozoic (e.g., Holland 1984), which in turn requires that the isotopic compositions remained unchanged by biogeochemical cycling during early diagenesis. The temporal changes in Fe isotope compositions for sedimentary pyrite are also seen in the Fe isotope record for shales (Figure 5). Although relatively few Fe-, C-, or S-rich Proterozoic shales have been analyzed for Fe isotope compositions, these appear to have significantly higher $\delta^{56}$Fe values than late Archean shales of similar bulk chemical composition (Yamaguchi et al. 2005, Rouxel et al. 2006), and they overlap the range in $\delta^{56}$Fe values of sedimentary pyrite of the same age. An important observation, however, is that near-zero and moderately negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values are measured in shales of $\sim$3.3 to $\sim$2.9 Ga. In addition, data from early Archean BIFs (3.7 to 3.8 Ga) indicate that positive or near-zero $\delta^{56}$Fe values may characterize sedimentary rocks during this time. We explore the implications of these temporal changes in the subsections that follow.

---

**Figure 5**

Temporal variation in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for minerals (a) and bulk shales (b) that are C-, S-, or Fe-rich, reflecting the range in Fe isotope compositions produced during diagenetic reactions in the Archean and Proterozoic. A total of 487 samples is represented, including 114 pyrites (Rouxel et al. 2005, Archer & Vance 2006), 81 bulk shales (Yamaguchi et al. 2003, Rouxel et al. 2006), 39 samples of the 1.8 Ga Biwabik banded iron formation (BIF) (Rouxel et al. 2005, Frost et al. 2006, Hyslop et al. 2008), 162 samples from the 2.5 Ga Hamersley-Transvaal BIFs (Johnson et al. 2003, 2008), and 91 samples from early Archean BIFs (Dauphas et al. 2004, 2007; Whitehouse & Fedo 2007; Herrick 2007). The horizontal gray bands mark the range in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for additional data for low-C and low-S clastic sedimentary rocks of modern to Archean age (114 samples) (Beard et al. 2003b, Yamaguchi et al. 2005).
Banded Iron Formations

Unusual periods of high iron deposition in low-energy marine environments are recorded in BIFs, which largely occur in the Archean and early Proterozoic (e.g., Klein 2005). The large inventory of Fe$^{3+}$-bearing oxides (magnetite, hematite) requires an oxidant during BIF genesis, given that all proposed iron sources (riverine, marine hydrothermal) were Fe$^{2+}$. The nature and quantity of this oxidant, however, are not
clear and may have included atmospheric O$_2$ (Cloud 1968), anaerobic photosynthetic Fe$^{2+}$-oxidizing bacteria (Widdel et al. 1993), or UV photo-oxidation (Braterman & Cairnssmith 1987), although recent experimental work apparently rules out UV photo-oxidation as a viable mechanism in natural seawater compositions (Konhauser et al. 2007). Iron isotope data are available for BIFs from three time periods in the Precambrian: $\sim$3.7–3.8 Ga, $\sim$2.5–2.7 Ga, and $\sim$1.8–1.9 Ga (Figure 5). The temporal variations in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for BIFs from these time periods are similar to those observed in bulk shales or sedimentary pyrite, in which early Archean BIFs have near-zero to positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values; the 2.5 to 2.7 Ga BIFs have a wide range in $\delta^{56}$Fe values, extending to very negative values; and the $\sim$1.9 Ga BIFs have near-zero to positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values, similar to those measured for the early Archean BIFs (Figure 5). Most of the Fe isotope data from these BIFs were obtained on magnetite or magnetite-rich samples, although pyrite was also analyzed from the 3.7–3.8 Ga Isua BIFs, which have positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values that overlap with those measured for magnetite in the Isua BIFs (Whitehouse & Fedo 2007). In addition, siderite has been extensively sampled in the 2.5 Ga BIFs, which tend to have lower $\delta^{56}$Fe values than magnetite in the same samples (Johnson et al. 2008).

The most detailed studies have focused on the 2.5 Ga BIFs of the Hamersley Group (Australia) and Transvaal Supergroup (South Africa), which represent the largest BIF deposits on Earth and contain sections that have not been significantly metamorphosed (Johnson et al. 2003, 2008; Klein 2005). Although the $\delta^{56}$Fe values for magnetite from the Hamersley-Transvaal BIFs range from $-1.0‰$ to $+1.2‰$, the average $\delta^{56}$Fe value is $0.0‰$, suggesting an overall isotopic mass balance relative to the inferred $\delta^{56}$Fe values for hydrothermal Fe and ferric Fe oxide/hydroxide inputs, which are estimated to have had near-zero $\delta^{56}$Fe values (Johnson et al. 2008). The peak in $\delta^{56}$Fe values of magnetite at $0‰$ (Figure 5) is thought to be inherited from ferric oxide/hydroxide precursors that formed through complete oxidation of Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$, followed by conversion to magnetite through interaction with Fe$^{3+}_{aq}$ that was sourced to hydrothermal fluids, or reduction by iron-reducing bacteria (Johnson et al. 2008). Magnetite that has positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values is interpreted to reflect inheritance from ferric oxide/hydroxide precursors produced by the incomplete oxidation of hydrothermal Fe$^{3+}_{aq}$, whereas magnetite that has negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values is interpreted to have incorporated low-$\delta^{56}$Fe Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ formed by DIR (Johnson et al. 2008).

The Fe isotope compositions of siderite from the Hamersley-Transvaal BIFs reflect Fe pathways that are distinct from those that formed magnetite (Johnson et al. 2008). The peak in $\delta^{56}$Fe values at $\sim-0.5‰$ for siderite (Figure 5) is interpreted to reflect a major control by seawater Fe, in which the estimated near-zero $\delta^{56}$Fe values for late Archean seawater would produce siderite that had $\delta^{56}$Fe values of $\sim-0.5‰$ (Johnson et al. 2008), using Wiesli and colleagues’ (2004) experimentally determined Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$-siderite fractionation factor. Siderite that has $\delta^{56}$Fe values that deviate from this average may reflect inheritance from precursor ferric oxide/hydroxides ($\delta^{56}$Fe $\sim 0‰$), followed by complete reduction by iron-reducing bacteria, or the effects of Ca substitution on the Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$-carbonate fractionation factor ($\delta^{56}$Fe $< -0.5‰$) (Johnson et al. 2008).
An important issue in interpreting the Fe isotope record of Archean and Proterozoic sedimentary rocks is if these compositions directly reflect those of ancient seawater, as advocated by Rouxel et al. (2005) or if they reflect biogeochemical cycling during early sediment diagenesis, as suggested by Yamaguchi et al. (2005). The large range in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for magnetite and siderite of up to 2‰ from the BIFs of the Dales Gorge member of the Brockman Iron Formation, Hamersley Group over time intervals on the order of $10^3$ years seems best explained by diagenesis, not by rapid changes in the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of seawater (Johnson et al. 2008). Rapid changes in seawater $\delta^{56}$Fe values require relatively low residence times ($<100$ years), although even in modern marine hydrogenous and hydrothermal sediments there appears to be no isotopic variation over timescales of $\sim 10^4$ years (Johnson et al. 2008). It is generally accepted that the Fe residence times were longer in the Archean, prior to oxygenation of the deep oceans, which would make it even more difficult to rapidly change seawater $\delta^{56}$Fe values. Based on the range in estimated Fe contents of Archean seawater of 2 to 50 ppm (Ewers 1983, Sumner 1997, Canfield 2005), the Fe residence time in the Archean may have ranged from 10,600 to 236,000 years; even if a relatively short time of 10 kyr is used, the temporal changes in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for the Dales Gorge BIFs cannot be produced (Johnson et al. 2008), providing strong support that their Fe isotope compositions cannot directly reflect those of seawater. Similar conclusions may be made from the Fe isotope data obtained on sedimentary pyrite from the 2.7 Ga Belingwe sedimentary basin (Zimbabwe), in which Archer & Vance (2006) noted that $\delta^{56}$Fe values vary by 2‰ over a 10-cm interval. When viewed in the light of temporal Fe isotope variations for modern marine sediments, as well as in consideration of the likely Fe residence times in the Archean oceans, the wide range in $\delta^{56}$Fe values for sedimentary pyrite and shales between 3.0 and 2.2 Ga (Figure 5) seems unlikely to us to reflect large fluctuations in the Fe isotope compositions of seawater.

Issues of Isotopic Mass Balance in the Archean

That bulk sedimentary rocks of Archean age have $\delta^{56}$Fe values that deviate from zero suggests that the inventory of Fe that was actively cycled, and hence subject to Fe isotope fractionation, was significantly larger in the past than it is today. The inventory of Fe that has nonzero $\delta^{56}$Fe values in modern surface environments is extremely small. We note above that the dissolved riverine load is relatively small, as is the total dissolved Fe inventory in the oceans, which is inferred to have generally negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values (e.g., Levasseur et al. 2004). The inventory of isotopically variable Fe contained in diagenetic pore fluids and reactive oxide/hydroxides and sulfides from Cenozoic marine sediments is also small (Bergquist & Boyle 2006, Severmann et al. 2006, Staubwasser et al. 2006), which is demonstrated by the fact that total digests of these sediments yield $\delta^{56}$Fe values near zero. An important exception exists for bulk samples of black shales that were deposited immediately before the Cenomanian-Turonian oceanic anoxic event, which have $\delta^{56}$Fe values as low as $-1.7‰$ (Jenkyns et al. 2007).
If the large number of nonzero $\delta^{56}$Fe values measured for late Archean and early Proterozoic rocks (Figure 5) reflects a significant inventory of isotopically variable Fe that does not exist today, how large could this inventory have been? Many Archean shales have Fe/Ti ratios that are significantly higher than the igneous rock average (Kump & Holland 1992), suggesting that such rocks may indeed reflect relatively rich repositories of Fe that had been mobilized. Such Fe enrichment, however, is generally not recorded in younger sediments, indicating that high Fe/Ti ratios did not characterize major portions of the surface environment in the Archean, assuming that the younger sediments would have contained some component of recycled older sediments (Kump & Holland 1992). This in turn suggests that the inventory of Fe that had nonzero $\delta^{56}$Fe values in the Archean may have been restricted to specific surface environments. The total Fe inventory in modern marine sediments is $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{20}$ mol, and this is equivalent to the total Fe inventory contained in the upper 150 m of the continental crust today (Lecuyer & Ricard 1999). If, for example, 10% of the marine sediment inventory in the Archean had a $\delta^{56}$Fe value of $-1.0‰$, this may be balanced by the Fe contained in only 15 m of upper continental crust if this Fe had a $\delta^{56}$Fe value of $+1.0‰$, assuming the relative sediment and crustal proportions of today. If Archean seawater had Fe contents between 2 and 50 ppm (see above), the total Fe inventory in the oceans would lie somewhere between $5.4 \times 10^{16}$ and $1.2 \times 10^{18}$ mol, which is small relative to the sediment and upper crustal Fe inventories. We conclude that although it seems clear that there were much larger inventories of nonzero $\delta^{56}$Fe sedimentary rocks in the late Archean and early Proterozoic than there are today (probably by several orders of magnitude), the required isotopic mass balance for this inventory could be contained in a relatively small proportion of the continental crust. Full understanding of the mass-balance issues of isotopically variable Fe inventories in the Precambrian will probably require detailed basinwide studies of sedimentary pyrite and shales, which have yet to be completed. In this regard, it is noteworthy that the magnetite inventory in the 2.5 Ga Hamersley-Transvaal BIFs appears to be isotopically balanced about $\delta^{56}$Fe $= 0$, and the average $\delta^{56}$Fe value for siderite can be controlled by the estimated Fe isotope composition of seawater, thus satisfying isotopic mass balance for the Fe-bearing BIF minerals (Johnson et al. 2008).

Isotopic Coupling of the Microbial C-S-Fe Systems

Sufficient C, S, and Fe isotope data for Archean and Proterozoic sedimentary rocks now exist to allow us an integrated first look into the C-S-Fe biogeochemical cycling that occurred in ancient Earth, which in turn provides important constraints on the evolution of life and the changing surface conditions of early Earth. It has long been recognized that the development of autotrophic fixation of CO$_2$, including photosynthesis, marked a critical milestone for the evolution of life, and below we argue that photosynthesis was the ultimate driving force in determining the ancient Fe isotope record. Multiple lines of evidence have been used to constrain when photosynthesis evolved, including morphological, phylogenetic, molecular biomarker, and C isotope data (e.g., Schopf 1993, Brocks et al. 1999, Xiong et al. 2000, Schidlowski...
2001, Brasier et al. 2002, van Zullen et al. 2002, Rosing & Frei 2004, Kopp et al. 2005, Zerkle et al. 2005, Hayes & Waldbauer 2006, Olson 2006). Many workers have argued that the earliest photosynthesis was anoxygenic, and particular attention has been focused on anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation because of the likelihood that Fe\(^{3+}_{\text{aq}}\) was the most important electron donor in the early Archean oceans (e.g., Widdel et al. 1993, Canfield 2005, Olson 2006), possibly reflecting ~90% of ocean primary productivity in the early Archean (Canfield et al. 2006). Investigators have used anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation to explain key components of the early Archean rock record such as BIFs (Widdel et al. 1993, Kappler et al. 2005) and stromatolites (Bosak et al. 2007). It is important to note that anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation produces organic carbon that may be used in heterotrophic respiration such as DIR and BSR, as well as providing a source of Fe\(^{3+}\) that is essential to DIR, as shown by the reaction

\[
7\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + 4\text{FeOOH} + 8\text{H}^+.
\] (6)

The C isotope fractionations produced by anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation are generally the same as those of oxygenic photosynthesis (e.g., Zerkle et al. 2005), although there are exceptions (J. Brocks, personal communication, 2007), so \(^{13}\text{C}\) values alone cannot distinguish between various types of photosynthetic pathways. Moreover, the evidence at hand suggests that anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation produces similar Fe isotope fractionations as abiotic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation (Croal et al. 2004). Although C and Fe isotopes do not provide unique fingerprints for anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation, the moderately negative \(^{13}\text{C}\) values and positive \(^{56}\text{Fe}\) values in early Archean sedimentary rocks suggests that some type of photosynthesis was operating and that only a fraction of the marine Fe\(^{3+}_{\text{aq}}\) inventory was oxidized to Fe\(^{3+}\), indicating that the amount of oxidant was limited (e.g., Dauphas et al. 2004, Rosing & Frei 2004, Johnson & Beard 2006, Whitehouse & Fedo 2007).

Development of oxygenic photosynthesis marked a one to two orders of magnitude increase in ocean primary productivity relative to anaerobic photosynthesis (e.g., DesMarais 2000, Canfield et al. 2006, Rosing et al. 2006). Oxygenic photosynthesis is most simply written as

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2.
\] (7)

In terms of C, S, and Fe cycling, invention of oxygenic photosynthesis was important because it provided much larger sources of organic carbon and, indirectly, Fe\(^{3+}\) through the oxidation of Fe\(^{2+}\) by \text{O}_2 than were likely to have been produced by anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation. This supports DIR, and oxidation of pyrite by \text{O}_2 produces SO\(_4^{2-}\) in the oceans to support BSR. BSR is commonly represented as

\[
\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{S},
\] (8)

which therefore is analogous to DIR in its use of organic carbon (Canfield 2005). Support for coupling BSR to atmospheric \text{O}_2 contents comes from the S isotope record, in which a major increase in S isotope fractionations in sedimentary sulfides occurs at approximately ~2.4 Ga (e.g., Canfield 2001), coincident with the commonly
Figure 6
Illustration of the isotopic coupling that exists among C, S, and Fe in terms of bacterial cycling. Photosynthetic fixation of CO$_2$ as organic carbon (CH$_2$O) produces low $\delta^{13}$C values of $\sim -25\%$ to $-35\%$ (e.g., Hayes 2001). Oxidation of organic C by bacterial sulfate reduction (BSR) and dissimilatory iron reduction (DIR) is coupled to the reduction of SO$_4^{2-}$ to S$^{2-}$ and Fe$_3^+$ to Fe$_2^+$, respectively, which produce decreases in the $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{56}$Fe values, respectively, relative to the initial substrate. There is little C isotope fractionation between CH$_2$O and the biomass (kerogen) produced by BSR or DIR (Londry & Des Marais 2003, Romanek et al. 2003), indicating that the $\delta^{13}$C values of organic C-rich shales include a major component produced by photosynthesis, whereas S$^{2-}$ and Fe$_2^+$ phases in shales tend to have low-$\delta^{34}$S and -$\delta^{56}$Fe values if BSR and DIR contributed these reduced components to the S and Fe inventory in the rocks, respectively.

proposed time of major increases in atmospheric O$_2$ (e.g., Holland 1984), although, as will be discussed below, the S isotope record supports the existence of BSR at some level earlier in Earth’s history.

We bring the above discussion together into an integrated view of bacterial C-S-Fe cycling and their attendant isotopic fractionations in Figure 6. Photosynthetic fixation of CO$_2$ that is in equilibrium with carbonate in the oceans produces organic carbon that has negative $\delta^{13}$C values, on the order of $-25$ to $-35\%$ (e.g., Hayes 2001), and this isotopic fingerprint is not significantly modified during incorporation into the biomass (kerogen) produced by BSR or DIR (Londry & Des Marais 2003, Romanek et al. 2003). Organic matter in sedimentary rocks may have lower $\delta^{13}$C values, which has been interpreted to reflect biological cycling of CH$_4$, such as methanotrophy, which may provide an indirect record for oxygenic photosynthesis (e.g., Hayes 1983). Oxidation of Fe$^{3+}$ may occur by anaerobic photosynthetic Fe$^{3+}$ oxidation or other biologic and abiologic pathways, such as interaction with atmospheric O$_2$, and positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values for Fe$^{3+}$ species are produced for any of
these pathways if only a portion of the Fe\textsuperscript{2+} inventory is oxidized, or, if complete oxidation occurs, near-zero δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe values may be produced (e.g., Johnson & Beard 2006). DIR couples the reduction of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} to Fe\textsuperscript{2+} to oxidation of organic matter, producing negative δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe values for Fe\textsuperscript{2+}\textsubscript{aq}, as discussed above. Photosynthesis (anaerobic or oxygenic) and DIR, therefore, form a C-Fe couple (e.g., Canfield 2005). An analogous couple for C and S occurs via BSR. Sulfate in the oceans generally reflects oxidative weathering of pyrite by free O\textsubscript{2}, producing sulfate (e.g., Canfield 2001). BSR couples reduction of SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} to S\textsuperscript{2−} to oxidation of organic matter, producing negative δ\textsuperscript{34}S values for sulfide that is ultimately sequestered as sedimentary pyrite, which are balanced by positive δ\textsuperscript{34}S values in the remaining SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} reservoir; the most negative δ\textsuperscript{34}S values are produced if SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} exists in excess (e.g., Canfield 2001). If all three components of the bacterial C-S-Fe cycle occur in the same sedimentary basin (photosynthesis, BSR, and DIR), these cycles may be recorded in the isotopic compositions contained in the rock inventory. Such an example can be found in the C, S, and Fe isotope data on black shales of the Spring Valley and Jimmy members of the 2.7 Ga Manjeri Formation, Belingwe sedimentary basin, which have δ\textsuperscript{13}C values between −19 and −38‰ for organic carbon, and δ\textsuperscript{34}S and δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe values for sedimentary pyrite of −3 to −18‰ and −0.9 to −2.6‰, respectively, which has been interpreted to reflect coupled BSR and DIR via oxidation of organic carbon that was produced by photosynthesis (Grassineau et al. 2001, Archer & Vance 2006).

C, S, and Fe Isotope Variations in the Precambrian: Evidence for Coevolution of Multiple Microbial Metabolisms

Figure 7 explores the temporal record of δ\textsuperscript{13}C, δ\textsuperscript{34}S, Δ\textsubscript{33}S, and δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe values for sedimentary rocks of Proterozoic and Archean age within the context of the evolution of microbial metabolism. We divide our discussion into three parts based on the temporal Fe isotope record: (a) the period >3.1 Ga, (b) 3.1 to 2.4 Ga, and (c) <2.4 Ga. The moderately negative δ\textsuperscript{13}C values for organic carbon of early Archean age (>3.1 Ga) are generally accepted to reflect C fixation by photosynthesis (e.g., Des Marais 2001, Schidlowski 2001). Debate continues regarding the origin of low-δ\textsuperscript{13}C graphite in the 3.7–3.8 Ga high-grade rocks of the Isua supracrustal belt and at Akilia island in southwest Greenland, although the evidence seems less contentious at Isua (e.g., Rosing & Frei 2004). In addition, the C isotope record contains some evidence for methanogenesis in the early Archean (Ueno et al. 2006). Strong support for low atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} contents at >3.1 Ga comes from S isotope data for sedimentary sulfides, in which the restricted range in δ\textsuperscript{34}S values indicates generally low SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} contents in the oceans (e.g., Habicht et al. 2002), which in turn restricts BSR to low levels in the open ocean or occurrence in isolated environments (Canfield 2001). Although Shen et al. (2001) argued that BSR occurred as early as 3.5 Ga based on moderately negative δ\textsuperscript{34}S values in sulfides from the Dresser Formation (Figure 7), new studies suggest that the S isotope fractionations were produced by bacterial disproportionation of S\textsuperscript{0} (Philippot et al. 2007). Significant, mass-independent fractionations among S\textsuperscript{32}, S\textsuperscript{34}, and S\textsuperscript{35} (expressed as Δ\textsubscript{33}S values) have figured prominently in discussions on
atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} contents, and the nonzero \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) values for sulfides of Archean age have been taken as evidence for very low atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} contents during this time interval (e.g., Farquhar & Wing 2003).

The Fe isotope record for sedimentary rocks of \(>3.1\) Ga age so far suggests relatively limited variation in \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) values (Figure 7), in which the positive \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) values at \(\sim3.7\)–\(3.8\) Ga are interpreted to reflect incomplete oxidation of the marine Fe\textsuperscript{2+}aq inventory, as discussed above, and oxidation was most likely to have occurred through anaerobic photosynthetic Fe\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation in light of the evidence against UV photoxidation in natural seawater compositions (Konhauser et al. 2007). Canfield et al. (2006) suggest that primary ocean productivity in the early Archean was probably limited by the supply of Fe\textsuperscript{2+}aq, and the fact that the Fe isotope data indicate incomplete oxidation suggests that productivity was not Fe limited, but instead may have been limited by other nutrients such as P (e.g., Bjerrum & Canfield 2002).

Major fluctuations occur in the temporal C, S, and Fe isotope record between \(\sim3.1\) and \(\sim2.4\) Ga (Figure 7). Most workers consider this interval to have had low atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} contents (e.g., Holland 1984), although several lines of evidence suggest that free O\textsubscript{2} may have been available, at least in local marine environments.

---

**Figure 7**

Temporal variations in C, S, and Fe isotope compositions in Archean and Proterozoic rocks. A major transition in isotopic compositions between \(\sim3.1\) Ga and \(\sim2.4\) Ga is marked by a gray field. (a) Carbon isotope data from kerogens that record relatively low levels of thermal maturation. Although there is some debate regarding the primary nature of C isotope data from the 3.7–3.8 Ga Isua supracrustal belt, it is generally accepted that the moderately negative \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) values for organic carbon from \(\sim3.5\) Ga and younger samples reliably reflect primary compositions. Prior to \(\sim2.8\) Ga, the moderately negative \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) values probably reflect anaerobic photosynthesis, whereas the strong drop to more negative \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) values between \(\sim2.8\) and 2.6 Ga is interpreted to reflect methanotrophy or methanogenesis. (b) \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) values for sedimentary sulfides have relatively restricted ranges until \(\sim2.4\) Ga, at which time a major increase in atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} occurred, significantly increasing seawater SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} contents and hence the range in S isotope fractionations (e.g., Holl\)
that have been characterized as oxygen oases (e.g., Kasting et al. 1992). The strong decrease in $\delta^{13}C$ values for organic carbon between $\sim2.8$ and $2.7$ Ga (Figure 7) has been proposed to reflect methanotrophy in the presence of free $O_2$ (Hayes 1983), which occurs via the reaction:

$$7\text{CH}_4 + 8\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 6\text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}. \quad (9)$$

Methane-related microbial cycling may produce very negative $\delta^{13}C$ values for organic carbon (e.g., Hayes et al. 1987, Summons et al. 1994, Hinrichs et al. 1999). Alternatively, anaerobic oxidation of methane may occur where sulfate is the terminal electron acceptor via the reaction:

$$\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{HS}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (10)$$

(e.g., Boetius et al. 2000, Orphan et al. 2002), and Hinrichs (2002) has proposed such a mechanism as an alternative explanation for the very low $\delta^{13}C$ values for organic carbon in late Archean sedimentary rocks. The negative $\delta^{34}S$ values in some sedimentary rocks of $\sim2.7$ Ga age (Figure 7) may therefore suggest that, at least locally, seawater sulfate contents may have been high enough to support anaerobic oxidation of methane. Still, others have interpreted the strong decrease in $\delta^{13}C$ values at $\sim2.7$ Ga to be unrelated to changes in microbial metabolism but instead to reflect changes in the rate of burial of organic carbon (e.g., Bjerrum & Canfield, 2004).

Additional lines of evidence suggest that free $O_2$ may have existed in the late Archean, and although this continues to be debated, resolution of these debates will affect our interpretation of the Fe isotope record. Evidence for oxygenic photosynthesis during this time interval comes from molecular biomarkers (e.g., Brocks et al. 2003), but the possibility of sample contamination by modern organic material remains a serious concern (Brocks et al. 2008). The small or zero $\Delta^{33}S$ values between $\sim2.9$ and $2.6$ Ga (Figure 7) have been interpreted by some workers (Ohmoto et al. 2006, Ono et al. 2006) to suggest that a rise in atmospheric $O_2$ occurred prior to the Great Oxidation Event at $\sim2.4$ Ga (Holland 1984), reflecting a yo-yo atmospheric evolution. Other workers have countered that despite the small $\Delta^{33}S$ values for sedimentary rocks of $\sim2.9$ to $2.6$ Ga age, small mass-independent S isotope fractionations still exist, indicating that atmospheric $O_2$ contents must have been low (Farquhar et al. 2007). In contrast, new Mo isotope data have been interpreted to reflect a gradual rise in $O_2$ from 2.65 to 2.5 Ga based on increasingly fractionated $^{98}\text{Mo}/^{95}\text{Mo}$ ratios up section in black shales of the Transvaal Supergroup, which Wille et al. (2007) have interpreted to reflect increasingly mobile Mo in solution (as oxyanions) with time, requiring relativelyoxic conditions, at least in seawater. New Mo and Re concentration and multiple S isotope data for the 2.5 Ga Mount McRae Shale (Australia) have been interpreted to reflect a small increase in free $O_2$ in at least the surface oceans before the Great Oxidation Event at $\sim2.4$ Ga (Anbar et al. 2007, Kaufman et al. 2007), although the timing of this proposed increase is significantly younger than that proposed by Ohmoto et al. (2006), Ono et al. (2006), and Wille et al. (2007).

Strikingly, the $\delta^{56}Fe$ values for sedimentary pyrite and C- and/or S-rich shales drop to the lowest values yet measured on Earth between $\sim2.7$ and $2.5$ Ga (Figure 7), and we propose that this reflects an extensive radiation in DIR in response
to increased Fe$^{3+}$ and organic carbon delivery to the oceans. Oxygenic photosynthesis would be the most efficient means for producing the high Fe$^{3+}$ and organic carbon fluxes that are required to support extensive DIR, and given the balance of arguments for and against free O$_2$ between $\sim$2.7 and 2.5 Ga summarized above, we suggest that local oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$ in the surface oceans by oxygenic photosynthesis is a plausible mechanism for providing the raw materials to support DIR. This model is similar to that of Beukes et al. (1990), who suggested that oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$ occurred in the upper water column during BIF formation, reflecting localized enrichment in free O$_2$ from oxygenic photosynthesis; anaerobic photosynthetic Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation is an alternative explanation (e.g., Kappler et al. 2005). Local enrichment of the surface ocean in oxygen may have supported BSR, and Archer & Vance (2006) argued that coupled BSR and DIR are recorded in the correlated variation in $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{56}$Fe values of sedimentary pyrite from the 2.7 Ga Belingwe sedimentary basin (Figure 7b, inset).

Our model does not require relatively high levels of atmospheric O$_2$ because oxygen enrichment in the surface ocean could occur if the atmosphere was anoxic, although an O$_2$-bearing atmosphere is certainly permitted by our model. As highlighted by numerous studies (e.g., Catling & Claire 2005, Claire et al. 2006, Goldblatt et al. 2006, Kump & Barley 2007), atmospheric O$_2$ contents could have risen only after all sinks for oxygen had been exhausted. Indeed, modeling of the balances between sources and sinks can produce a yo-yo atmosphere in terms of O$_2$ contents prior to the Great Oxidation Event (e.g., Goldblatt et al. 2006) or a single pulse of slightly increased atmospheric O$_2$ between $\sim$2.7 Ga and 2.5 Ga (e.g., Claire et al. 2006). One of the most important sinks was the inventory of Fe$^{2+}$ that existed in the oceans and the continents, providing a tie to the Fe isotope record. Consideration of the importance of Fe$^{2+}$ sinks predicts that Fe$^{3+}$ inventories will increase before an increase in atmospheric O$_2$, which in turn will increase DIR activity prior to a rise in atmospheric O$_2$, and this is consistent with the large range in $\Delta^{33}$S values that are found during the time of large excursions in $\delta^{56}$Fe values (Figure 7).

There is essentially no debate that atmospheric O$_2$ contents were relatively high after $\sim$2.4 Ga (e.g., Bekker et al. 2004). Oxygenic photosynthesis was well established by this time, producing moderately negative $\delta^{13}$C values for organic carbon (Figure 7) and seawater SO$_4^{2-}$ contents significantly increased (Habicht et al. 2002), as reflected in the expanded range in $\delta^{34}$S values for sedimentary pyrite (Figure 7). The Fe isotope record suggests that DIR became much less extensive (Figure 7). Once SO$_4^{2-}$ contents in the oceans increased to relatively high levels at $\sim$2.4 Ga, sulfide abundances greatly restricted DIR in open-ocean environments because sulfide would scavenge reactive Fe$^{3+}$ through reaction of ferric oxide/hydroxides and sulfide to form Fe sulfides, preventing its availability for DIR; at this time, we suggest that DIR became restricted to oxic/anoxic interfaces in marine sediments in which sulfide contents were low, as is the case today. The near-zero to slightly positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values for C- and/or S-rich shales, sedimentary pyrite, and magnetite from BIFs in the post $\sim$2.4 Ga period are strikingly similar to those measured for early Archean rocks, although there seems little doubt that the surface conditions on Earth must have been quite different between these two time periods. Canfield (1998) proposed that cessation of BIF deposition at $\sim$1.8 Ga reflected the removal of Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ by high sulfide
contents in the deep ocean, rather than the traditional interpretation that the deep oceans became oxygenated. The transition to a sulfidic ocean following cessation of BIF deposition has been demonstrated in the rock record (Poulton et al. 2004). We interpret the near-zero $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values to record complete oxidation and precipitation of hydrothermal Fe$^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$, as would be expected under an O$_2$-bearing atmosphere. In addition, sedimentary pyrite that formed through sulfidation of detrital Fe$^{3+}$, which should have $\delta^{56}\text{Fe} \sim 0$‰, is another explanation for near-zero $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values for sedimentary pyrite. If, however, significant Fe was titrated by sulfide as FeS in the deeper parts of the oceans, it is possible that the remaining Fe$^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$ in the upper ocean layer had slightly positive $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values, given the slightly positive Fe$^{2+}_{\text{aq}}$-FeS fractionations measured in experiment (Butler et al. 2005). There are some difficulties with this interpretation because it predicts that pyrite formed directly from low-$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ FeS precursors in the deep ocean would have slightly negative $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values, and such compositions are rare during this time interval (Figure 7).

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Significant Fe isotope fractionations are largely restricted to natural, low-temperature environments or processes in which redox or bonding changes occur, and these fractionations can only be expressed in systems in which one or more Fe species can be mobilized. In modern Earth, these conditions are satisfied by the complexation of soluble Fe in soils, rivers, and seawater, as well as marine hydrothermal alteration, the oxidation of reduced hot springs and groundwaters, and microbial Fe cycling in marine sediments. In terms of processes that produce the largest quantities of isotopically distinct Fe, DIR appears to be most important by an order of magnitude or more. Abiologic processes commonly proposed to produce fractionated isotope compositions for aqueous Fe such as sorption or partial oxidation and precipitation are inadequate for producing large inventories of isotopically fractionated Fe. The total inventory of Fe that has $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values significantly different than the crust, however, is quite small in Earth today.

The pools of reduced and oxidized Fe mobilized in the early Proterozoic and Archean must have been much larger than today to explain the significant inventory of bulk sedimentary rocks and minerals that have nonzero $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values. The temporal isotopic record in Precambrian rocks reflects changes in the specific microbial metabolisms that cycled C, S, and Fe. Some workers have argued that the temporal changes in the Fe isotope record reflect abiologic processes, arguing that the quantities of Fe processed by microbes would be too small relative to abiologic processes (Rouxel et al. 2005, Dauphas & Rouxel 2006, Anbar & Rouxel 2007), but the data at hand suggest that the opposite is the case. The recognized importance of DIR as an early form of microbial metabolism (e.g., Vargas et al. 1998, Lovley 2004), together with correlations between C, S, and Fe isotopes, provide us with a view into the inter-relations between photosynthesis and bacterial sulfate and iron reduction as a function of changing surface environments in ancient Earth. The fundamental importance of photosynthesis in defining the Precambrian Fe and S isotope records
lies in its role in producing a sustained and large flux of the Fe$^{3+}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and organic carbon that are required to fuel DIR and BSR.

The earliest Fe isotope record in early Archean rocks suggests that incomplete oxidation of marine Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ occurred by anaerobic photosynthetic Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ oxidation, which produced Fe oxides in BIFs that had positive δ$^{56}$Fe values, as well as negative δ$^{13}$C values for organic carbon. The Fe isotope record significantly changes at ∼3.1 Ga, at which the combination of an increase in production of organic carbon via photosynthesis and an increase in reactive Fe$^{3+}$ provided the raw materials needed for a widespread radiation of DIR that peaked between ∼2.7 Ga and 2.5 Ga. An increased flux of mantle Fe into the oceans in the late Archean may have enhanced Fe cycling, ultimately reflecting widespread submarine volcanism and hydrothermal activity prior to continental stabilization at the end of the Archean (e.g., Davies 1995, Barley et al. 1998). The radiation of DIR in the late Archean was possible in part because seawater SO$_4^{2-}$ contents remained relatively low during this time, as evidenced by the generally modest spread in δ$^{34}$S values during this time interval. BSR, however, could not have been nonexistent, given the modest range in δ$^{34}$S values, and the low-δ$^{56}$Fe values for pyrite that are found in this time interval are interpreted to reflect the interaction between sulfide produced by BSR and Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ produced by DIR (Archer & Vance 2006).

The very large BIF deposits formed at ∼2.5 Ga involved a major component of biogeochemical cycling, in which photosynthesis in the upper water column provided organic carbon fluxes to the deep oceans, as well as a means to oxidize Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$, either by oxygenic photosynthesis or by anaerobic photosynthetic Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ oxidation (e.g., Kappler et al. 2005). The rain of Fe$^{3+}$ oxide as well as organic carbon from the upper water column to the ocean floor provided the essential ingredients to support DIR during BIF genesis at this time, as proposed in the geomicrobiology literature (e.g., Lovley et al. 1987, Nealson & Myers 1990, Konhauser et al. 2005). This flux would have sustained a condition of partial iron oxide reduction by DIR, producing large quantities of Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ that had negative δ$^{56}$Fe values, much in the same way that negative δ$^{34}$S values of sedimentary sulfides have been interpreted to reflect BSR in the presence of excess sulfate (e.g., Canfield 2001). The rise in SO$_4^{2-}$ between ∼2.5 and 2.4 Ga, however, caused DIR to become more restricted in extent, producing a concomitant shift in the Fe isotope record toward average crustal values. The transition to a sulfidic ocean at ∼1.8 Ga, which appears to have been accompanied by the cessation of BIF deposition (Canfield 1998), produced Fe isotope compositions for sulfides that were close to the crustal average, reflecting the sulfidation of detrital Fe$^{3+}$ or Fe$^{3+}$ produced by the complete oxidation of hydrothermal Fe$^{2+}$ under anoxic atmosphere. It is important to note, however, that the presence of an oxygenated atmosphere does not require that all marine sedimentary rocks must have near-zero δ$^{56}$Fe values, and this is well demonstrated by the large fluctuation in Fe isotope compositions that is associated with the Cenomanian-Turonian oceanic anoxic event (Jenkyns et al. 2007), which provides strong support for the concept that microbial metabolisms exert a stronger control on the Fe isotope record of marine sediments than ambient atmospheric oxygen contents.
Although the phylogenetic importance of DIR has been recognized for the past two decades (Lovley et al. 1987, Myers & Nealson 1988), this metabolism has received relatively little attention in discussions in the isotope geochemical literature relative to photosynthesis and sulfate reduction, primarily because the isotopic record for DIR has only recently emerged. Future research is required to increase our understanding of the isotopic fractionations produced by DIR in natural, complex aqueous systems such as seawater and to compare these fractionations with those that arise from abiologic Fe\(^{2+}\)-oxide interactions. In the rock record, it is clear that testing the proposal that the C, S, and Fe isotope records are isotopically coupled through photosynthesis and heterotrophic respiration requires detailed studies of these isotope compositions on the same samples, including mass-independent S isotope measurements. The large number of negative \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values measured in the rock record as it is currently known presents a puzzle, given the mass-balance constraints provided by the homogeneity of igneous and average crustal Fe. Because the Fe isotope record for Precambrian sedimentary rocks is so far based on a limited number of samples that probably give an incomplete picture of the environments that existed at any particular time, we suspect that our understanding of Fe biogeochemical cycling will improve by basin-scale studies, comparing both shallow-water and deep-water environments, an approach used, for example, in C isotope studies (e.g., Eigenbrode & Freeman 2006). If accompanied by a significant expansion in experimental studies to provide a mechanistic understanding of isotopic fractionations, we are confident that in the coming decade C, S, and Fe isotope studies on the same samples will provide important insights into the coupled C-S-Fe biogeochemical cycles in early Earth.
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